

ATTACHMENT A

SECTION L. INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS.

L.15 Proposal Instructions and Content. The solicitation requires proposals for with and without first article testing for CLIN 0001, without first article testing for CLIN 0002, and 100% option prices for both CLINS.

L.15.1 Proposals will be submitted in accordance with this section. To avoid unnecessary expense to both the Government and the offeror, offerors are advised to thoroughly review Section M prior to submitting a proposal. Section M sets forth the evaluation criteria that the Government will use to select a best value contractor.

L.15.2 Proposals will be submitted electronically, in accordance with the clause entitled "Electronic Bids/Offers – TACOM-RI". All proposals will be in English, and prices will be stated in terms of U.S. dollars. Proposals will be clear and concise. Where a proposal would become duplicative of a response already given, it will reference and not restate the same information.

L.15.3 Proposals will be submitted in four volumes: (1) Past Performance, (2) Quality, (3) Price, and (4) Small Business Utilization. No reference to price will be contained in the Past Performance, Quality, or Small Business Participation volumes. Each volume will contain a title page, which must include the Request for Proposal number and the offeror's name. Each volume will contain a Table of Contents, and each page within a volume will identify the volume number and page number. Proposals will be submitted in a clearly legible font, no smaller than size 10. The entire proposal, exclusive of the pricing submission, will be limited to 50 pages, size 8-1/2 x 11 inches, printed on one side only.

L.6 Executive Summary.

L.6.1 Offerors will preface their proposals with an Executive Summary that fully acknowledges the requirements of the solicitation and any amendments issued thereto. Amendments will be referenced by number.

L.6.2 The Executive Summary will provide a concise summary of the offeror's strengths. This summary will be used for introductory purposes only, and will not be separately evaluated.

L.6.3 The Executive Summary will also include all Section K Certifications, and any "fill-ins" required by the solicitation, along with any exceptions taken to the solicitation's terms and conditions. The Executive Summary will be brief, and will not count toward the proposal page limitation.

L.7 Volume I. Past Performance.

L.7.1 Past Performance will be evaluated for Relevance and for Compliance. Relevance is equal in importance to Compliance.

L.7.1.1 Relevance means performance, which demonstrates the skills and processes required for this acquisition.

L.7.1.2 Compliance includes quality, timeliness, cooperation and customer satisfaction.

L.7.1.3 Offerors will be given a single adjectival rating for Past Performance. Any offeror may have a record of good work, but its contract history may have involved different processes and thus may not be relevant to the current procurement. An offeror may have a record of work in the specialized field(s) of this procurement, but may not have performed timely or to the satisfaction of its customers. Either of these examples is likely to result in an overall marginal or poor Past Performance rating.

L.7.2 The offeror will provide relevant past performance data attributable to the offeror, each individual team member, joint venture member, and/or any subcontractor performing more than thirty percent of the total price for any given contract line item (CLIN) excluding source controlled items. Relevant data includes Government or commercial contracts of similar size, scope and complexity, entailing the requirements outlined in the technical data provided, that have been performed in whole or in part within the last three calendar years previous to the date of issuance of this solicitation. Relevant contracts are those similar in scope, products and/or processes to the requirements of this solicitation, and which reflect the probability of successful accomplishment of the required effort.

L.7.3 At a minimum, this volume will identify and provide the following information for each contract identified:

All information is to be provided on the “Summary of Relevant Contract Experience” (Atch 01):

1. Contract Number
2. Contract Type
3. Cage/Duns Numbers
4. Place of Performance
5. Original Contract Value
6. Current Contract Value
7. Original Performance Schedule
8. Current/Revised Performance Schedule
9. Government/Commercial Activity Address
10. Points of Contact: Telephone Number/Fax Number/E-Mail Address
11. Description of the scope of work requirements, and a discussion of similarities between the contract scope of work and the scope of work in this solicitation. Description of the objectives achieved to date on the referenced contract. Include an explanation of instances where technical or schedule requirements were not met, and any corrective actions taken to avoid such problems in the future.

L.7.3.1 The Government may use the data provided and gathered from other sources to evaluate past performance. Since the Government may not interview all of the sources provided, it is incumbent upon each offeror to explain all the data provided.

L.7.3.2 The Government does not assume the duty to search for data to cure problems found in proposals. The burden of providing thorough and complete past performance information remains with the offeror. The Government may assess a “higher risk” rating to a proposal, or reject a proposal if it does not contain the required information.

L.7.4 Cancellations and Terminations.

L.7.4.1 Identify any recent contracts which may have been terminated or cancelled for any reason, in whole or in part. Include prime contractors, contracts under which you were a subcontractor, and/or any of your subcontractor’s contracts. Provide the same information as requested in paragraph L.3.3 above for these contracts.

L.7.4.2 If there were no cancellations or terminations, please provide a statement to that effect.

L.7.5 If the offeror has limited or no recent/relevant past performance, but has key personnel who will be playing a significant role in this contract’s performance, and who have had significant/similar responsibilities in conjunction with recent, relevant contracts/subcontracts with a previous employer, the Government may consider this experience in its evaluation of performance risk. In order for such experience to be considered, identify these key personnel, their roles and responsibilities for their previous employer, and their roles and responsibilities as planned for the current acquisition requirements.

L.7.6 The offeror will provide similar information to that identified in paragraphs above, for the recent, relevant contracts of the predecessor company.

L.7.6.1 If the offeror or a significant subcontractor has only relevant and recent performance history as part of a predecessor company, the Government may consider that past performance in its evaluation of performance risk. The offeror will provide the information identified above, for those recent, relevant contracts for that predecessor company.

L.8 Volume II. Quality.

L.8.1 The Government intends to evaluate the offeror's quality system, recognizing that the offeror, as the prime contractor, is responsible for controlling its performance and the performance of its subcontractors.

L.8.2 The offeror must represent that performance under this contract will be in accordance with its quality system, which is in compliance with one of the following. **Check one of the blocks below:**

- | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | ISO 9001 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | ISO 9002 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | QS 9000 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | ANSI/ASQ Q9001 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <i>OTHER</i> _____ |

L.8.2.1 If you checked "***OTHER***" because you intend to use an in-house quality system, or one based on a commercial national or international standard not identified above, then in addition to identifying your proposed system in the space above, to the right of the word "***OTHER***", you must attach a description of this system to your offer in response to this solicitation so that the Government may assess its suitability.

L.8.2.2 If you receive a contract award, your proposed quality system will be required by the contract.

L.8.3 Certification of compliance for the quality system identified above, by an independent standards organization or auditor, is not required under this contract. However, you may attach a copy of such certification with your offer in response to this solicitation, as proof of system compliance.

L.8.4 At any point during contract performance, the Government has the right to review your quality system to assess its effectiveness in meeting contract requirements.

L.9 Volume III. Price. The offeror shall complete all pricing lines in Schedule B, as well as the option pricing requests for both CLINS 0001 and 0002 in Section I. In addition, the offeror shall comply with FAR Clause 52.215-20 (Alt I) for CLIN 0001.

L.10 Volume IV. Small Business Utilization.

NOTE TO OFFERORS: To aid in submission of the Small Business Utilization Volume, Attachment E, "SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION PROPOSAL SUBMISSION" Form may be completed and returned as part of your proposal.

L.10.1 All offerors are to identify the extent to which Small Businesses (SBs), Historically Underutilized Business Zone (HUBZone) Small Businesses, Small Disadvantaged Businesses (SDBs), Woman-Owned Small Businesses (WOSBs), and/or Historically Black Colleges/Universities or Minority Institutions (HBCUs/MIs) would be utilized in the performance of this proposed contract. For Small Businesses, as defined by the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Code applicable to this solicitation, the offeror's own participation as an SB, HUBZone SB, SDB, WOSB, or HBCU/MI is to be identified, and will be considered in evaluating Small Business participation.

L.10.2 The offeror will address the following factors in detail:

L.10.2.1 The names of SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs or HBCUs/MIs who would participate in the proposed contract, identifying specific components to be produced or services to be performed by them, and the estimated total dollars of such work.

L.10.2.2 A description of the offeror's performance over the past three calendar years in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-8, "Utilization of Small Business Concerns", including a description and all available documentation of the methods employed to promote *Small Business Utilization*, and the internal methods used to monitor such utilization. Offerors who have never held a contract incorporating FAR 52.219-8 shall so state.

L.10.3 In addition, offerors who are Large Businesses as defined by the SIC Code applicable to this solicitation, will provide a description of their performance over the past three calendar years in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-9, "Small Business Subcontracting Plans", including documentation of their accomplishments of the goals established under Subcontracting Plans of prior contracts. Large Businesses that have never held a contract incorporating FAR 52.219-9 will so state.

ATTACHMENT B

SECTION M. EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD.

M.6 Basis for Award. The solicitation requires proposals for with and without first article testing for CLIN 0001, without first article testing for CLIN 0002, and 100% option prices for both CLINS.

M.6.1 The Government will assess proposals in accordance with the Trade-Off process contemplated under FAR 15.101-1. An award will be made to the offeror whose proposal offers the best value to the Government based on an integrated assessment of four factors: (1) Past Performance, (2) Quality, (3) Price and (4) Small Business Utilization. Consequently, the Government may award to other than the low priced offeror. Past Performance and Quality are more important than Price. Small Business Participation is the least important factor. Offerors are cautioned that as non-priced factors tend to narrow, price becomes more important. In addition, the Government will evaluate the offeror's quality system on a Go, No-Go basis in accordance with M.3 below.

M.6.2 Under Trade Off/Best Value acquisitions, the Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the low priced offeror, or to other than the offeror rated the highest in the non-price factors. Although price is not the most important consideration, it may be controlling where:

- a. an otherwise superior proposal is at an unaffordable or unreasonable price;
- b. two proposals are otherwise considered equal; or,
- c. the advantages of a superior proposal are not worth the price premium.

M.6.3 Proposals which merely offer to perform the work in accordance with the Request for Proposal (RFP), or which are so lacking in content and detail that the Government cannot conduct a meaningful evaluation without significant supplementation are unacceptable and will not be considered for award.

M.6.4 The Contracting Officer may obtain a Pre-Award Survey to facilitate the evaluation of any offeror's proposal. The Contracting Officer may consider the results of any such Pre-Award Survey findings in the selection decision.

M.6.5 A proposal that is unrealistically high or low in price may indicate that the offeror does not comprehend the Government's requirements. The Government may reject a proposal for this reason.

M.6.6 Notwithstanding the identified evaluation criteria, affordability will be an overriding consideration in the Government's evaluation of proposals. An offeror's stated commitment to perform without substantial proposal documentation will result in rejection of the offeror's proposal.

M.6.7 The Government reserves the right to make no award as a result of the solicitation, if, upon evaluation, none of the proposals are deemed likely to meet the requirements at an acceptable level of risk and/or price.

M.6.8 Offerors are urged to ensure that their proposals are submitted on the most favorable terms in order to reflect their best possible potential, since less than the optimal initial proposal may result in the exclusion of the offeror from further consideration.

M.6.9 A Trade-Off/Best Value Evaluation Team (TET) has been assigned to review the proposals and assist the Trade-Off/Best Value Selection Authority (TSA) in selecting the best value proposal. The TET will rate and provide a narrative assessment of proposals at the factor levels in accordance with this Section M. In each instance, the team will assess the relative strengths and weaknesses of the proposals, and apply a rating that most closely applies. The TSA is not bound by the findings of the evaluation team.

M.7 Past Performance.

M.7.1 The Government will evaluate past performance data submitted pursuant to Section L as it relates to the probability of successful performance of all solicitation requirements.

M.7.2 The Government will limit its review to relevant Government or commercial contracts performed in whole or in part within the last three calendar years. The Government will assess relevancy of the past performance data, specifically assessing the extent to which the data is similar to the requirements stated in the technical data package, in terms of size, scope and complexity.

M.7.2.1 A significant achievement, problem or lack of relevant information can become an important consideration of the selection process. Therefore, offerors are reminded to include all relevant past efforts, including demonstrated corrective actions, in their proposals. The lack of a performance record may result in an unknown past performance rating which will be treated as “neutral”.

M.7.3 The Government will evaluate relevant data in terms of quality of performance, timeliness of performance, and customer satisfaction.

M.7.4 In addition to the information provided by offerors, the Government reserves the right to evaluate data received from other sources. Since the Government may not necessarily interview all of the sources provided by offerors, it is incumbent upon the offeror to explain the relevance of the data provided. Offerors are reminded that while the Government may elect to consider data obtained from other sources, the burden of providing thorough and complete past performance information rests with the offeror.

M.7.5 Factor Ratings. The Government will focus its past performance inquiry on all aspects of contract performance, including (1) quality of performance, (2) delivery schedule conformance/timeliness, (3) cooperation and customer satisfaction. Based on the foregoing, offers will be rated as follows:

EXCELLENT. The offeror's past performance data is highly relevant and leaves essentially no doubt that the offeror can perform the requirements of this acquisition.

GOOD. The past performance data is, for the most part, highly relevant and leaves little doubt that the offeror can perform this effort.

ADEQUATE. The past performance data indicates some degree of risk in terms of successful performance.

MARGINAL. The past performance data indicates a substantial degree of risk in terms of successful performance.

POOR. The past performance data leaves extreme doubt that the offeror can perform this effort.

UNKNOWN. The offeror has no relevant past performance upon which to base a meaningful performance risk prediction.

M.8 Quality.

M.8.1. This factor will be evaluated on a go, no-go basis. Offerors must meet or exceed the requirements of ISO 9002 to be eligible for award. For offerors who are required to describe their quality system pursuant to Section L (under "***OTHER***"), the Government will evaluate the extent to which their proposed systems meet the elements of ISO 9002.

M.9 Price.

M.9.1 The Government will evaluate proposed prices for reasonableness.

M.9.1.1 "Price Reasonableness" is defined as a price that does not exceed what would be incurred by a prudent person in the conduct of competitive business.

M.9.1.2 The Government will consider total evaluated prices developing a selection decision. Total evaluated prices will include proposed base prices for all proposed CLINS, all option prices, and any other price considerations that may be required by the solicitation.

M.10 Small Business Utilization.

M.10.1 The Government will evaluate the extent to which offerors identify, and commit to utilizing, SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs and HBCUs/MIs in the performance of the contract. Such utilization may be as the prime contractor or a subcontractor, or as a member of a joint venture or teaming arrangement. The Government will also evaluate the extent of the offeror's past compliance with FAR 52.219-8, "Utilization of Small Businesses", and FAR 52.219-9, Small Business Subcontracting Plans". Evaluation will consider the following.

M.10.1.1 The extent to which the proposal specifically identifies SBs, SDBs, WOSBs and HBCUs/MIs and the estimated dollar value of their participation, including the participation of the offeror, if it is a SB, SDB, WOSB, or an HBCU/MI;

M.10.1.2 The complexity of the items/services to be furnished by SBs, SDBs, WOSBs and HBCUs/MIs;

M.10.1.3 The extent of participation of such concerns in terms of the value of the total contract amount; and

M.10.1.4 An assessment of the risk, based upon past performance, of the offeror actually achieving the involvement of small business concerns as proposed. Such assessment will include:

M.10.1.4.1 For all offerors, an evaluation of performance over the past three calendar years in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns;

M.10.1.4.2 For offerors who are large businesses as defined by the Standard Industrial Code applicable to this solicitation, an additional evaluation of past performance over the last three calendar years in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-9, Small Business Subcontracting Plan. Where a large business has not held a contract that included 52.219-9, it's prior performance will be evaluated against 52.219-8 only.

M.10.1.5 The extent of substantive evidence indicating the level of past compliance with the requirements of FAR 52.219-8 and FAR 52.219-9.

M.10.2 The following Small Business Utilization Adjectival Scale shall apply to all proposals:

**SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION
ADJECTIVAL SCALE**

The element of Small Business Participation will be evaluated in accordance with the following. Apply the adjectival rating for the definition *that most closely meets* the evaluation conclusion.

ADJECTIVAL	DEFINITION AND CRITERIA
Excellent	Proposal includes a substantial portion of the work, in terms of dollar value (more than 20%) and complexity, to be performed in the Small Business (SB), HUBZone Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI) sector by the prime (if so qualified) and/or as subcontractors or team members. Offeror has substantive evidence suggesting prior achievement of subcontracting plans or policy goals. Based on the proposal and past performance history, the offeror's proposed goals and/or actions are substantial and are considered very realistic (very low risk).
Good	Proposal includes a significant portion of the work in terms of dollar value (more than 15%) to be performed in the Small Business (SB), HUBZone Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI) sector by the prime (if so qualified) and/or as subcontractors or team members. Offeror has evidence suggesting prior achievement of most subcontracting plan or policy goals. Based on the offeror's proposal and past performance history, the offeror's proposed goals and/or actions are significant and are considered realistic (low risk).
Adequate	Proposal includes a reasonable portion of the work in terms of dollar value (more than 10%) or complexity to be performed in the Small Business (SB), HUBZone Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI) sector by the prime (if so qualified) and/or as subcontractors or team members. Offeror has evidence suggesting prior achievement of some subcontracting plan or policy goals. Based on the offeror's proposal and past performance history, the offeror's proposed goals and/or actions are adequate and could be met if the offeror focuses attention on them (moderate risk).
Marginal	Proposal includes a minimal portion of the work in terms of dollar value (less than 10%) and complexity to be performed in the Small Business (SB), HUBZone Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI) sector by the prime (if so qualified) and/or as subcontractors or team members. Based on the offeror's proposal and/or past performance history, there is little likelihood that more than a minimal portion of the work will be performed in this sector (High Risk).

Poor	Offeror demonstrates little or no commitment to using SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs and HBCU/MIs. There is no evidence that the offeror met his prior goals and/or shows no serious commitment and did not provide adequate justification for not doing so. Based on the proposal and past performance history, there is negligible likelihood that anything other than a token portion of the work will be performed in this sector (Very High Risk).
Neutral	Foreign firm (offeror) has held no past Government contract(s) subject to FAR 52.219-8 or FAR 52.219-9. Foreign firm (offeror) indicates no opportunity for using SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs and HBCU/MIs as all contract work will be performed completely outside the United States or no meaningful subcontract opportunities exist.

ATTACHMENT C

SUMMARY OF RELEVANT CONTRACT EXPERIENCE

PAST PERFORMANCE PROPOSAL

DAAE20-00-R-0134

CONTRACTOR:

1. CONTRACT NO.	2. CONTRACT TYPE:	3. CAGE CODE/DUNS #		
4. PLACE OF PERFORMANCE:	5. ORIGINAL CONTRACT VALUE:	6. CURRENT CONTRACT VALUE:		
7. ORIGINAL PERFORMANCE SCHEDULE:	8. CURRENT/REVISED PERFORMANCE SCHEDULE:	9. Govt/Comm Activity Address:		
10 POINTS OF CONTACT				
TITLE	NAME	PHONE/ / FAX	E-MAIL	
<i>CONTRACTING OFFICER</i>				
<i>ADMINISTRATIVE CONTRACTING OFFICER</i>				
<i>CONTRACTING OFFICER'S REP (COR)</i>				
<i>COMMERCIAL REPRESENTATIVE</i>				

11. NARRATIVE: Describe contract scope of work and similarities between the contract scope of work and the scope of work in this solicitation. In addition, describe the objectives achieved to date on the contract with respect to quality of performance, timeliness of performance, and customer satisfaction. Identify any instances where the technical or schedule requirements were not met, and describe the corrective actions taken.

ATTACHMENT D

DAAE20-00-R-0134

SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION

PROPOSAL SUBMISSION

All offerors, both Small and Large Business, are required to submit Small Business Participation Proposals as follows:

Offeror's Name _____
Company Size: _____ **Small Business (SB)** _____ **Large Business (LB)**
Company Status: (IF): _____ **SB,** _____ **Historically Underutilized**
Business Zone Small Business (HUBZone SB) , _____ **Small Disadvantaged**
Business (SDB) _____ **Woman-Owned Small Business (WOSB) or** _____ **Historically**
Black College and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI)

Total Estimated Value of Proposed Contract: \$ _____

Total Estimated Value of Subcontracts: \$ _____

Dollar Value of Subcontracts planned for all:

SBs: \$ _____
Company name(s) _____

HUBZone SBs: \$ _____
Company name(s) _____

SDBs: \$ _____
Company name(s) _____

WOSBs: \$ _____
Company name(s) _____

HBCU/MIs: \$ _____
Institution name(s) _____

Percentages of Contract Value subcontracted for all:

SB: % _____

HUBZone SB: % _____

SDB: % _____

WOSB: % _____

HBCU/MI: % _____

For SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, or WOSBs – Offeror’s percentage of contract value you will perform at the prime contract level: % _____

Principle supplies/services to be subcontracted to:

SB: _____

HUBZone SB: _____

SDB: _____

WOSB: _____

HBCU/MI: _____

Principle supplies/services you will be providing at the prime contractor level (In House):

During the *past three calendar years*, provide the following:

Description of your methods employed to promote the use of SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs, and HBCU/MI’s:

Description of the internal methods used to monitor your utilization of the above (database mgmt, reports, etc.):

IF OFFEROR IS A LARGE BUSINESS, AN ADDITIONAL EVALUATION OF PAST PERFORMANCE OVER THE PAST THREE CALENDAR YEARS IN COMPLYING WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF FAR 52.219-9, SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN, WILL BE MADE. INCLUDE DOCUMENTATION OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS AGAINST GOALS ESTABLISHED UNDER SUBCONTRACTING PLANS OF PRIOR CONTRACTS.

ATTACHMENT E

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION SPECIFICATION

(The requirements of the DoD Industrial Security Manual apply to all security aspects of this effort.)

1. CLEARANCE AND SAFEGUARDING	
a. FACILITY CLEARANCE REQUIRED	
b. LEVEL OF SAFEGUARDING REQUIRED	

2. THIS SPECIFICATION IS FOR: (X and complete as applicable)			3. THIS SPECIFICATION IS: (X and complete as applicable)		
a. PRIME CONTRACT NUMBER			a. ORIGINAL (Complete date in all cases)		DATE (YYMMDD)
b. SUBCONTRACT NUMBER			b. REVISED (Supersedes all previous specs)	Revision No.	DATE (YYMMDD)
c. SOLICITATION OR OTHER NUMBER	DUE DATE (YYMMDD)		c. FINAL (Complete item 5 in all cases)		DATE (YYMMDD)

4. IS THIS A FOLLOW-ON CONTRACT? YES NO. If yes, complete the following:
 Classified material received or generated under _____ (Preceding Contract Number) is transferred to this follow-on contract.

5. IS THIS A FINAL DD FORM 254? YES NO. If yes, complete the following:
 In response to the contractor's request dated _____, retention of the identified classified material is authorized for the period of _____

6. CONTRACTOR (Include Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code)

a. NAME, ADDRESS, AND ZIP CODE	b. CAGE CODE	c. COGNIZANT SECURITY OFFICE (Name, Address, and Zip Code)

7. SUBCONTRACTOR

a. NAME, ADDRESS, AND ZIP CODE	b. CAGE CODE	c. COGNIZANT SECURITY OFFICE (Name, Address, and Zip Code)

8. ACTUAL PERFORMANCE

a. LOCATION	b. CAGE CODE	c. COGNIZANT SECURITY OFFICE (Name, Address, and Zip Code)

9. GENERAL IDENTIFICATION OF THIS PROCUREMENT

10. THIS CONTRACT WILL REQUIRE ACCESS TO:	YES	NO	11. IN PERFORMING THIS CONTRACT, THE CONTRACTOR WILL:	YES	NO
a. COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC) INFORMATION			a. HAVE ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION ONLY AT ANOTHER CONTRACTOR'S FACILITY OR A GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY		
b. RESTRICTED DATA			b. RECEIVE CLASSIFIED DOCUMENTS ONLY		
c. CRITICAL NUCLEAR WEAPON DESIGN INFORMATION			c. RECEIVE AND GENERATE CLASSIFIED MATERIAL		
d. FORMERLY RESTRICTED DATA			d. FABRICATE, MODIFY, OR STORE CLASSIFIED HARDWARE		
e. INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION:			e. PERFORM SERVICES ONLY		
(1) Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI)			f. HAVE ACCESS TO U.S. CLASSIFIED INFORMATION OUTSIDE THE U.S., PUERTO RICO, U.S. POSSESSIONS AND TRUST TERRITORIES		
(2) Non-SCI			g. BE AUTHORIZED TO USE THE SERVICES OF DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC) OR OTHER SECONDARY DISTRIBUTION CENTER		
f. SPECIAL ACCESS INFORMATION			h. REQUIRE A COMSEC ACCOUNT		
g. NATO INFORMATION			i. HAVE TEMPEST REQUIREMENTS		
h. FOREIGN GOVERNMENT INFORMATION			j. HAVE OPERATIONS SECURITY (OPSEC) REQUIREMENTS		
i. LIMITED DISSEMINATION INFORMATION			k. BE AUTHORIZED TO USE THE DEFENSE COURIER SERVICE		
j. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY INFORMATION			l. OTHER (Specify)		
k. OTHER (Specify)					

ATTACHMENT F

SPECIAL PACKAGING INSTRUCTION (Continuation sheet)		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
<small>Please request information regarding the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Service, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, Va 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. Please do not return your form to either of these addresses.</small>		
1. PART OR DRAWING NO. 9377915	NOMENCLATURE GUNNER'S AUXILIARY SIGHT	2. CODE INCIDENT 19200
4. NATIONAL STOCK NO. 1240-01-259-9098	5. DATE OF DRAWING REV. (YYMMDD) 00-08-19	3. SPI NO. (AM) P9377915
6. REVISION / ERR NO. -/HOK2005		
17. NOTES / DRAWINGS CONTINUED		
<p>(F) INSTALL DUST CAP, MS90376-10Y, ON ELECTRICAL CONNECTOR, J1, AND TAPE IN PLACE.</p> <p>(G) COVER BROW REST KNOB WITH TWO LAYERS OF CUSHIONING. WRAP CUSHIONING AROUND SIGHT'S EYEPIECE ARM AND KNOB.</p> <p>(H) PLACE SIGHT INTO BAG (24 X 52) WITH EXCESS BAG LENGTH AT EYEPIECE END OF SIGHT.</p> <p>(I) FABRICATE TWO END CUSHIONS OF SPECIFIED SIZE FROM 5 INCH THICK, 2 LB/CU FT. POLYETHYLENE FOAM (ETHAFOAM).</p> <p>(J) FABRICATE BASE AND COVER CUSHIONS FROM 2 LB/CU FT. FLEXIBLE POLYURETHANE FOAM (MIL-PRF-26514). THICKNESS AND CUTOUTS OF FOAM SHEETS AS REQUIRED TO FABRICATE LAYERS PER ATTACHED DRAWINGS.</p> <p>(K) FABRICATE PLASTIC SHIPPING BOX FROM PLASTIC BOARD, A-A-58078 (BASIS WEIGHT 250 LBS), USING SONIC WELDING. COLOR FOR THE PLASTIC BOARD SHALL BE OLIVE DRAB (OD). BOX STYLE SHALL BE STYLE FTC (PER ASTM D5118). CONTAINER SHALL BE MARKED "REUSABLE WITH 1 1/2" STENCILED WHITE LETTERS ON THE COVER'S TOP SURFACE AND ENDS.</p> <p>(L) PLACE END, BASE AND COVER CUSHIONS INTO PLASTIC SHIPPING BOX.</p> <p>(M) PLACE BAGGED BROW REST, CONNECTING LINK, HARDWARE AND SIGHT INTO THEIR RESPECTIVE FOAM CAVITIES.</p> <p>(N) CONTAINER CLOSURE SHALL BE 2" NYLON REINFORCED TAPE. USE ONE LENGTH BAND AND FIVE WIDTH BANDS.</p>		


SPECIAL PACKAGING INSTRUCTION (Continuation sheet)

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Washington Headquarters Office, Management for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (704-0188) Washington, DC 20503. Please do not return your form to either of these addresses.

1. PART OR DRAWING NO. NOMENCLATURE 9377915 GUNNER'S AUXILIARY SIGHT	2. CODE IDENT 19200	3. SPT NO. (AM) P9377915
4. NATIONAL STOCK NO. 1240-01-259-9096	5. DATE OF DRAWING (YYYYMMDD) 00-06-19	6. REVISION / BRN NO. -/H0K2005

17. NOTES / DRAWINGS CONTINUED



SPECIAL PACKAGING INSTRUCTION (Continuation sheet)


Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Retaining the retention of information. Send comments regarding the content, format or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Washington Headquarters Office, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. Please do not report this form to either of these addresses.

1. PART OR DRAWING NO. NOMENCLATURE 9377915 GUNNER'S AUXILIARY SIGHT	2. CODE IDENT 19200	3. SPI NO. (AM) P9377915
4. NATIONAL STOCK NO. 1240-01-259-9096	5. DATE OF DRAWING (PI/Y/M/CC) 00-06-19	6. REVISION / ERR NO. -/HOK2006

17. NOTES / DRAWINGS CONTINUED



GAS SHIPPING CONTAINER FOAM



COVER
FOAM

BASE
FOAM

SPECIAL PACKAGING INSTRUCTION (Continuation sheet)		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0148
<small>ensuring the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Service, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, Va 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project(0704-0148), Washington, DC 20503. Please do not return your form to either of these addresses.</small>		
1. PART OR DRAWING NO. 9377915	NOMENCLATURE GUNNER'S AUXILIARY SIGHT	2. CODE IDENT 19200
4. NATIONAL STOCK NO. 1240-01-259-9096	5. DATE OF DRAWING(S) (YYMMDD) 00-08-19	3. SPI NO. (AM) P9377915 6. REVISION / ERR NO. -HOK2005
17. NOTES / DRAWINGS CONTINUED		




**GAS SHIPPING CONTAINER
END FOAM - LAYERS A & B**

SPECIAL PACKAGING INSTRUCTION (Continuation sheet)

Form Approved

...the Controller or Inspector. Send all reports regarding the content, accuracy or packaging instructions, including drawing data changes, gathering and monitoring the data needed, and completing and submitting Change Orders and Reports. 216 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project(704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. Please do not return this form to either of these addresses.

1. PART OR DRAWING NO. 9377915	NOMENCLATURE GUNNER'S AUXILIARY SIGHT	2. CODE INCIDENT 19200	3. SPI NO. (AM) P9377915
4. NATIONAL STOCK NO. 1240-01-259-9096		5. DATE OF DRAWING(SPI)(YYMMDD) 00-06-19	6. REVISION / SPI NO. -/H0K2005
17. NOTES / DRAWINGS CONTINUED			



**GAS SHIPPING CONTAINER
COVER FOAM - LAYER A
BASE FOAM - LAYER A**


SPECIAL PACKAGING INSTRUCTION (Continuation sheet)

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Retaining the address of information: Send address regarding the content of this special instruction, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Washington Headquarters Service, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, Va 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project(0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. Please do not return your form to either of these addresses.

1. PART OR DRAWING NO. NOMENCLATURE 9377915 GUNNER'S AUXILIARY SIGHT	2. CODE IDENT 19200	3. SPI NO. (AM) P9377915
4. NATIONAL STOCK NO. 1240-01-259-9098	5. DATE OF DRAWING(SPI/Y/M/D) 00-08-19	6. REVISION / ERM NO. -HOK2005

17. NOTES / DRAWINGS CONTINUED



**GAS SHIPPING CONTAINER
COVER FOAM - LAYER B**


SPECIAL PACKAGING INSTRUCTION (Continuation sheet)

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Washington Headquarters Service, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204 Arlington Va 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. Please do not return your form to either of these addresses.

1. PART OR DRAWING NO. Nomenclature 9377915 GUNNER'S AUXILIARY SIGHT	2. CODE IDENT 19200	3. SPI NO. (AM) P9377915
4. NATIONAL STOCK NO. 1240-01-258-9096	5. DATE OF DRAWING (FPI/YMDD) 00-06-19	6. REVISION / BRN NO. -/H0K2005

17. NOTES / DRAWINGS CONTINUED



**GAS SHIPPING CONTAINER
COVER FOAM - LAYER C**


SPECIAL PACKAGING INSTRUCTION (Continuation sheet)

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Service, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project(0704-0188) Washington, DC 20503. Please do not return your form to either of these addresses.

1. PART OR DRAWING NO. NOMENCLATURE 9377915 GUNNER'S AUXILIARY SIGHT	2. CODE IDENT 19200	3. SPI NO. (AM) P9377915
4. NATIONAL STOCK NO. 1240-01-259-9096	5. DATE OF DRAWING/SP (YYMMDD) 00-06-19	6. REVISION / EAR NO. -HOK2005

17. NOTES / DRAWINGS CONTINUED



**GAS SHIPPING CONTAINER
COVER FOAM - LAYER D**


SPECIAL PACKAGING INSTRUCTION (Continuation sheet)

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Preserving the collection of information. Send comments regarding this instruction or any other instruction to the Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, Va 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project(0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. Please do not return any form to either of these addresses.

1. PART OR DRAWING NO. Nomenclature 9377915 GUNNER'S AUXILIARY SIGHT	2. CODE IDENT 19200	3. SPI NO. (AM) P9377915
4. NATIONAL STOCK NO. 1240-01-259-9096	5. DATE OF DRAWING (YYMMDD) 00-08-19	6. REVISION / ERR NO. -/H0K2005

17. NOTES / DRAWINGS CONTINUED




**GAS SHIPPING CONTAINER
BASE FOAM - LAYER B**

SPECIAL PACKAGING INSTRUCTION (Continuation sheet)

Form Approved

Following the collection of information. Send comments regarding this bulletin, including Pro size for recording instructions, ensuring correct date format, printing and ensuring the date printed, and completing and returning to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (2501-0100), Washington, DC 20503. Please do not return this information to the Office of Management and Budget, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (2501-0100), Washington, DC 20503. Please do not return this information to the Office of Management and Budget, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (2501-0100), Washington, DC 20503.

1. PART OR DRAWING NO. NOMENCLATURE 9377915 GUNNER'S AUXILIARY SIGHT	2. CODE IDENT 19200	3. SPI NO. (AM) P9377915
4. NATIONAL STOCK NO. 1240-01-259-9006	5. DATE OF DRAWING (YYMMDD) 00-06-19	6. REVISION / SRR NO. -H0K2005
17. NOTES / DRAWINGS CONTINUED		



**GAS SHIPPING CONTAINER
BASE FOAM - LAYER D**


SPECIAL PACKAGING INSTRUCTION (Continuation sheet)

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Following the activities of instruction, send comments regarding the layout, estimate of any other aspect of the collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Washington Headquarters Service, Director for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. Please do not send your form to either of these addresses.

1. PART OR DRAWING NO. NOMENCLATURE 9377915 GUNNER'S AUXILIARY SIGHT	2. CODE IDENT 19200	3. SPI NO. (AM) P9377915
4. NATIONAL STOCK NO. 1240-01-259-9096	5. DATE OF DRAWING/PI(Y/M/D) 00-06-19	6. REVISION / ERR NO. -HOK2005

17. NOTES / DRAWINGS CONTINUED



**GAS SHIPPING CONTAINER
BASE FOAM - LAYER E**

ATTACHMENT G

DOCUMENT SUMMARY LIST

Item: GUNNER AUX SIGHT
NSN: 1240-01-259-9096
Control Number/PRON: J50FCN03

Identifies all first tier documents (cited in SOW) (applicable DIDs). Also included are all referenced documents (2nd, (includes DID block 10 references), 3rd and lower tier) which have been tailored.

DOCUMENT CATEGORY:

CATEGORY 0 - Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, contract, or contract modifications, all documents are for guidance and information only.

CATEGORY 1 - The requirements contained in the directly cited document are contractually applicable to the extent specified. All referenced documents are for guidance and information only.

CATEGORY 2 - The requirements contained in the directly cited document and the reference documents identified in the directly cited document are contractually applicable to the extent specified. All subsequently referenced documents are for guidance and information only.

CATEGORY 3 - Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, contract or contract modification, all requirements contained in the directly cited document and all reference and subsequently referenced documents are contractually applicable to the extent specified.

Document Number (Contract Reference) Applicable Tailoring	Document Title	Document Date/ Document Category
1a. MIL-STD-2549 Table DIP 4-1	Configuration Management Data Interface	30 Jun 97 Cat 2
1b. DI-CMAN-81554 (seq A001)	Configuration Change Control Data Information Packet	30 Jun 97 Cat 2
2. ANSI/ISO/ASQC Q9002 or equivalent	Model for Quality Assurance in Production, Installation & Servicing	18 Jul 94
3. MIL-PRF-13830 Rev B	Optical Components For Fire Control Instruments; Gen.Spec.	09 Jan 97 Cat 2

CLIN 0001

CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENTS LIST

DD FORM 1423 (MECHANIZED)

CATEGORY: MISC SYSTEM/ITEM: GUNNER AUX SIGHT
TO CONTRACT/PR: J50FCN03

1. SEQUENCE NUMBER		14. DISTRIBUTION	DRFT/REG/REPRO COPIES
2. TITLE OF DATA ITEM			
3. SUBTITLE			
4. DATA ITEM NUMBER			
5. CONTRACT REFERENCE			
6. TECHNICAL OFFICE	7. DD 8. APP 9. DIST STATEMENT		
	250 CODE REQUIRED		
10. FREQUENCY	11. AS OF DATE	15. TOTAL:	
12. DATE OF 1ST SUBMISSION	13. DATE OF SUBSEQUENT SUBMISSION		
16. REMARKS			

1. A001		14. AMSTA-AR-CCL-F (ECALS)	/ /
2. CONFIGURATION CHANGE CONTROL*			/ /
3. ENGINEERING ACTIONS			
4. DI-CMAN-81554			
5. SECTION C			
6. AMSTA-AR-ES	7. NO 8. - 9. **		
10. ASREQ	11. ---	15. TOTAL	0/ 0/ 0
12. ASREQ	13. ASREQ		

16. REMARKS
 PREPARE ENGINEERING ACTIONS IAW DI-CMAN-81544 AND SUBMIT ELECTRONICALLY VIA ECALS WORLDWIDE WEB PAGE [HTTP://EDMD4.PICA.ARMY.MIL/](http://EDMD4.PICA.ARMY.MIL/). *DATA INFORMATION PACKET
 **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT WILL BE ASSIGNED AND IMPLEMENTED BY THE DOD CONFIGURATION MANAGER. THE POC FOR ECALS IS LEE SADAUSKAS, AMSTA-AR-QAW, (973) 724-6626
 LEES@PICA.ARMY.MIL.

APPROVED BY: STEPHEN J HANSEN, SDMO, AMSTA-AR-QAD DATE: 07/20/2000

DOCUMENT SUMMARY LIST

Item: BEZEL, INSTRUMENT MOUNT
 NSN: 6695-01-079-2945
 Control Number/PRON: P401RAX4

Identifies all first tier documents (cited in SOW) (applicable DIDs). Also included are all referenced documents (2nd, (includes DID block 10 references), 3rd and lower tier) which have been tailored.

DOCUMENT CATEGORY:

CATEGORY 0 - Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, contract, or contract modifications, all documents are for guidance and information only.

CATEGORY 1 - The requirements contained in the directly cited document are contractually applicable to the extent specified. All referenced documents are for guidance and information only.

CATEGORY 2 - The requirements contained in the directly cited document and the reference documents identified in the directly cited document are contractually applicable to the extent specified. All subsequently referenced documents are for guidance and information only.

CATEGORY 3 - Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, contract or contract modification, all requirements contained in the directly cited document and all reference and subsequently referenced documents are contractually applicable to the extent specified.

Document Number (Contract Reference) Applicable Tailoring	Document Title	Document Date/ Document Category
1a. MIL-STD-2549 Table DIP 4-1	Configuration Management Data Interface	30 Jun 97 Cat 2
1b. DI-CMAN-81554 (seq A001)	Configuration Change Control Data Information Packet	30 Jun 97 Cat 2
2. ANSI/ISO/ASQC Q9002 or equivalent	Model for Quality Assurance in Production, Installation & Servicing	18 Jul 94
3a. NCSL Z540-1 (ES7010)	General Requirements for Calibration Laboratories and Measuring and Test Equipment OR	30 Aug 94
ISO 10012-1 (ES7010)	Quality Assurance Requirements for Measuring Equipment, Part 1: Metrological Confirmation System	1992
3b. DI-QCIC-81006 (DD Form 1423)	Special Inspection Equipment Descriptive Documentation	11 Sep 89 Cat 1

CLIN 0002

CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENTS LIST

DD FORM 1423 (MECHANIZED)

CATEGORY: MISC SYSTEM/ITEM: BEZEL, INSTRUMENT MOUNT
TO CONTRACT/PR: P401RAX4

1. SEQUENCE NUMBER		14. DISTRIBUTION	DRFT/REG/REPRO COPIES
2. TITLE OF DATA ITEM			
3. SUBTITLE			
4. DATA ITEM NUMBER			
5. CONTRACT REFERENCE			
6. TECHNICAL OFFICE	7. DD	8. APP	9. DIST STATEMENT
	250	CODE	REQUIRED
10. FREQUENCY	11. AS OF DATE		15. TOTAL:
12. DATE OF 1ST SUBMISSION	13. DATE OF SUBSEQUENT SUBMISSION		
16. REMARKS			

1. A001		14. AMSTA-AR-CCL-F	/ /
2. CONFIGURATION CHANGE CONTROL*		(ECALS)	/ /
3. ENGINEERING ACTIONS			
4. DI-CMAN-81554			
5. SECTION C			
6. AMSTA-AR-ES	7. NO	8. -	9. **
10. ASREQ	11. ---		15. TOTAL 0/ 0/ 0
12. ASREQ		13. ASREQ	

16. REMARKS
PREPARE ENGINEERING ACTIONS IAW DI-CMAN-81544 AND SUBMIT ELECTRONICALLY VIA ECALS WORLDWIDE WEB PAGE [HTTP://EDMD4.PICA.ARMY.MIL/](http://EDMD4.PICA.ARMY.MIL/). *DATA INFORMATION PACKET **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT WILL BE ASSIGNED AND IMPLEMENTED BY THE DOD CONFIGURATION MANAGER. THE POC FOR ECALS IS LEE SADAUSKAS, AMSTA-AR-QAW, (973) 724-6626 LEES@PICA.ARMY.MIL.

1. A002		14. AMSTA-AR-QAC	(1) / 1/
2. SPECIAL INSPECTION EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTIVE DOC.		(D)	/ /
3. AIE DESIGN DOCUMENTATION		QAR	/ /
		CO (LT ONLY)	/ /
4. DI-QCIC-81006*		AMSTA-AR-QAW-C	(2) / /
		(LT ONLY) (R)	/ /
5. SECTION E			
6. AMSTA-AR-QA	7. XX	8. A	9. N/A
10. ONE/R	11. N/A		15. TOTAL 0/ 1/ 0
12. **		13. WHEN REV.	

16. REMARKS
* BLOCK 4: DO NOT ADDRESS PARAGRAPHS 10.1, 10.2, 10.4.1(f) AND 10.4.2. IGNORE ALL REFERENCE TO THE WORD "SPECIAL" IN DID. SUBMIT FOR ALL CRITICAL, SPECIAL AND MAJOR CHARACTERISTICS IN SPECIFICATION OR QAP. ** BLOCK 12: SUBMIT 30 DAYS PRIOR TO FA, OR PRODUCTION, IF FA IS WAIVED. THE GOVERNMENT WILL RESPOND WITHIN 30 DAYS OF RECEIPT OF ORIGINALS AND REVISIONS. REVISIONS ARE TO BE SUBMITTED WITHIN 10 DAYS OF RECEIPT OF GOVERNMENT RESPONSE. IF DOCUMENTATION WAS APPROVED ON PRIOR CONTRACT AND NO CHANGES WERE MADE, SUBMIT

ONLY EVIDENCE OF PRIOR APPROVALS.

email: (1)aie-qac@pica.army.mil (2)amsta-ar-qa-cdr1@ria-emh2.army.mil

Text:Microsoft Office 97

Drawings:AutoCAD-Release 14(Expressed mailed hard copies will be accepted)

Files may be compressed using ZIP program.

WARNING: Large packages may cause delays in delivery using mail internet.

Email subject line must contain end item nomenclature.

APPROVED BY: STEPHEN J HANSEN, SDMO, AMSTA-AR-QAD

DATE: 06/20/2000