

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Tank-Automotive and Armaments Command – Rock Island (TACOM-RI) proposes to procure 75 each Manual Drive Assembly, NSN: 1015-01-238-8186, P/N: 12283696.

This is an Indefinite-Quantity Contract with guaranteed quantity for the first ordering period. It has additional ordering periods 2, 3, 4, and 5. This procurement action is full and open.

This is a Best Value procurement. Award will be based on an evaluation of proposals in two areas: (1) Price and (2) Past Performance/Small Business Utilization. The area of Past Performance/Small Business Utilization is more important than the area of Price. See Sections L and M, attachments 004 and 005, of the solicitation.

This executive summary is provided solely as an administrative convenience and is not intended to alter in any way the terms and conditions of the attached Request for Proposal (RFP). Any inconsistency between the summary and the elements of the RFP it addresses shall be resolved in favor of the RFP elements in accordance with the order of precedence clause contained in the RFP.

ATTACHMENT 001

DOCUMENT SUMMARY LIST

Item: MANUAL DRIVE ASSEMBLY
NSN: 1015-01-238-8186
Control Number/PRON: P10HNWX1

Identifies all first tier documents (cited in SOW) (applicable DIDs). Also included are all referenced documents (2nd, (includes DID block 10 references), 3rd and lower tier) which have been tailored.

DOCUMENT CATEGORY:

CATEGORY 0 - Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, contract, or contract modifications, all documents are for guidance and information only.

CATEGORY 1 - The requirements contained in the directly cited document are contractually applicable to the extent specified. All referenced documents are for guidance and information only.

CATEGORY 2 - The requirements contained in the directly cited document and the reference documents identified in the directly cited document are contractually applicable to the extent specified. All subsequently referenced documents are for guidance and information only.

CATEGORY 3 - Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, contract or contract modification, all requirements contained in the directly cited document and all reference and subsequently referenced documents are contractually applicable to the extent specified.

Document Number (Contract Reference) Applicable Tailoring	Document Title	Document Date/ Document Category
1a. MIL-STD-2549 Table DIP 4-1	Configuration Management Data Interface	30 Jun 97 Cat 2
1b. DI-CMAN-81554 (seq A001)	Configuration Change Control Data Information Packet	30 Jun 97 Cat 2
2. ANSI/ISO/ASQC Q9002 or equivalent	Model for Quality Assurance in Production, Installation & Servicing	18 Jul 94

CONTRACT C WORKSHEET

PRON P10HNWX1 AMC 1 AMSC G ATC AFWGW

TDP 12283696 TDPL DATE 09/15/99

NSN 1015012388186 NOMENCLATURE MANUAL DRIVE ASSY

used for all soldering and soldering related operations (i.e. hand soldering, tinning, solderability testing or verification, automated soldering, inspection, process controls). Each procedure shall describe the operation in sufficient detail to ensure that the performance requirements for the item being manufactured are met. As a minimum, each procedure shall include the process, tools, equipment, materials and acceptance criteria used for the operation. The soldering process plan shall be made available to the cognizant government technical agency. In lieu of developing a soldering process plan, the contractor may elect to utilize ANSI/IPC/J-STD-001, Class 3*, with the cleanliness designator as indicated below:

Flux Type in use	Cleanliness Designator**
-----	-----
Low Residue/No Clean Flux	C02
Rosin Based Flux	C22
Water Soluble Flux	C22

*Class 3 High Performance Electronic Products
Includes equipment for commercial and military products where continued performance or performance-on-demand is critical. Equipment downtime cannot be tolerated, end-item use may be uncommonly harsh, and the equipment must function when required, such as life support systems and critical weapons systems.

**ANSI/IPC/J-STD-001 Rev A, paragraph 8.3.2 and sub-paragraphs.

DOCUMENT	DELETE	REPLACE WITH
12321030	.094 +.003 -.000 Dim THRU"Zone C5"	.108+.004-.000Dim THRU
12321242	.094 +.003 -.000 Dim THRU"Zone E4"	.108+.004-.000Dim THRU
12321248	MS16624-2050	M27426-2101B PER MIL-R-27426
12321248	19207 ASSY 12321248"Note 6"	19200 ASSY 12321248

Drawing 12321248, Change
From: Ball, Bearing MS19060-1008

To:

Vendor1: Stock Drive Products New Hyde Park, NY 11040	Cage Code 6F689	Vendor Item A 9Y71-04
Vendor2: Nordex INC 50 Newtown RD Danbury, CT 06810-6216	Cage Code 56262	Vendor Item AES-A1-3
Vendor3: Berg 499 Ocean Ave. East Rockaway, NY 11518-1226	Cage Code 12345	Vendor Item PT4-4

DOCUMENT	DELETE	REPLACE WITH
QAR 12283236	AQLS	MIL-STD-1916 VL IV for MAJOR & VL II for MINOR characteristics
QAR 12283696	"	"
QAR 12283697	"	"
QAR 12283698	"	"
QAR 12283699	"	"

CONTRACT C WORKSHEET

PRON P10HNWX1 AMC 1 AMSC G ATC AWFGW

TDP 12283696 TDPL DATE 09/15/99

NSN 1015012388186 NOMENCLATURE MANUAL DRIVE ASSY

QAR 12321021	"	"
QAR 12321023	"	"
QAR 12321024	"	"
QAR 12321026	"	"
QAR 12321027	"	"
QAR 12321028	"	"
QAR 12321029	"	"
QAR 12321030	"	"
QAR 12321031	"	"
QAR 12321035	"	"
QAR 12321036	"	"
QAR 12321043	"	"
QAR 12321057	"	"
QAR 12321066	"	"
QAR 12321079	"	"
QAR 12321191	"	"
QAR 12321236	"	"
QAR 12321237	"	"
QAR 12321238	"	"
QAR 12321242	"	"
QAR 12321243	"	"
QAR 12321244	"	"
QAR 9338676	"	"
QAR 9377664	"	"
QAR 9377673	"	"

On all the above QARS Pg. I, PART I add MIL-STD-1916 under STANDARDS and MIL-W-63150 under SPECIFICATIONS.

On all the above QARS add the following to the next MINOR characteristic number as follows:

--- WORKMANSHIP (SEE MIL-W-63150) VISUAL

For all the above QARS under PART III-CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS delete "(STA FORM 4452 PARA 3.5 APPLIES)" and add "The Certification Provisions of MIL-W-63150 for Certified Test Reports (CTR) and Certification of Conformance (COC) shall apply for the following. Under CERTIFICATION METHOS delete paragraphs 3.5.3 & 3.5.4 where applicable.

For QAR 12283698 Pg. 4, change MINORS 207, 208, 209 & 210 as follows:

FROM: 207 #10(.190)-24 UNC-2B THREAD (11) HOLES	G6	GO THREAD PLUG GAGE NOT GO THREAD PLUG GAGE
TO: 207 #10(.190)-24 UNC-2B THREAD (11) HOLES PITCH DIAMETER MINOR DIAMETER	G6	SMTE SMTE
FROM: 208 #10(.190)-24 UNC-2B THREADED HOLES .26 MIN FULL THREAD	B10	GO THREAD PLUG GAGE NOT GO THREAD PLUG

SECTION L

L.1 PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS

Offerors shall submit proposals in accordance with this section. To avoid unnecessary expense to both the Government and the offeror, offerors are advised to thoroughly review Section M prior to submitting a proposal. Section M sets forth evaluation criteria the Government will use to select a contractor. There are two evaluation areas: (1) Price and (2) Past Performance/Small Business Utilization.

L.1.1 PRICE

Attachment 006 is the Pricing Sheet. Offerors are to fill in the blocks under the column "Unit Price". This solicitation requires that the offeror provide prices for varying quantity ranges for each ordering period. Offerors who condition their proposal to specific ordering quantities or ordering periods may be rejected as being unacceptable. All unit prices will be binding. The Government reserves the right to require the submission of any data necessary to validate the reasonableness of an offer.

L.2.1 PAST PERFORMANCE/SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION

L.2.1.1 Element 1, Past Performance:

a. The offeror shall detail and describe his quality and delivery performance on prior Government or commercial contracts during the last three years, which are the same, or similar to the effort required on this solicitation. The term "similar" is defined as a contract over \$50,000 for the production of a gearbox which includes precision machined and ground gears, precision bearings, soldered wires and connectors, and a complex housing formed from an investment casting. The offeror's experience, producing gearboxes for military vehicles, is considered to have greater relevancy than non-military applications or efforts.

b. The offeror shall identify both prime contracts and major subcontracts for similar items as defined above. Both Government and Commercial contract description shall include the information requested below in the following format:

1. Identify in specific detail for each contract listed, why or how you consider the effort relevant or similar to the effort required by this solicitation. This would include identifying a commercial customer and any additional information required to evaluate the offeror's commercial work.
2. Your (and your subcontractor's) CAGE & CEC (DUNNS) Number.
3. Government or commercial contracting activity address and telephone number.
4. Procuring Contracting Officer's (PCO's) name and telephone number if Government Contract.
5. Government or commercial contract administration activity and the name and telephone number of the Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO) if Government Contract.
6. Contract number.
7. Contract award date.
8. Contract type.

ATTACHMENT 004

9. Final or projected final price/cost.
10. Original delivery schedule.
11. Final or projected final delivery schedules.

12. A narrative explanation on each previous contract listed describing the objectives achieved and any schedule delays encountered. For any government contracts, which did not/do not meet original requirement with regard to either schedule or technical performance, a brief explanation of the reason(s) for such shortcomings and demonstrated corrective actions taken to avoid recurrence. The offeror shall also provide a copy of any cure notices or show cause letter received on each previous contract listed and description of any corrective action by the offeror or proposed subcontractor.

13. The offeror shall also provide the above required information for any and all contracts it has had terminated for default in whole or in part, during the past 3 years, to include those currently in the process of such termination as well as those which are NOT similar to the proposed effort. The contractor shall list each time the delivery schedule was revised and provide an explanation of why the revision was necessary.

14. If the offeror intends to subcontract a major portion of the requirements of the solicitation, the offeror shall identify the proposed subcontractors and provide adequate information as to allow the Government to perform an evaluation of the subcontractors' capabilities and ability to perform the required tasks.

15. Offerors shall include in their proposal the written consent of their proposed subcontractors to allow the Government to discuss the subcontractor's past performance evaluation with the offeror during negotiation, if applicable.

NOTE: Offerors are reminded that both independent data and data provided by offerors in their proposals may be used to evaluate offerors past performance. Since the Government may not necessarily interview all sources provided by the offerors, it is incumbent upon the offer to explain the relevance of data provided. The burden of providing thorough and complete past performance information remains with the offerors. Proposals that do not contain the information requested, by this paragraph risk rejection or high risk rating by the Government.

L.2.1.2 Element 2, Small Business Participation:

a. Offerors are to identify the extent to which small businesses (SBs), HUBZone small businesses, small disadvantaged businesses (SDBs), woman-owned small businesses (WOSBs), historically black colleges/universities or minority institutions (HBCU/MIs) would be utilized in the performance of this proposed contract. For small businesses, as defined by the Standard Industrial Code applicable to this solicitation, the offeror's own participation as a SB, HUBZone SB, SDB, WOSB, or HBCU/MI is to be identified, and will be considered in evaluating small business participation.

b. The offeror is to address the following factors in detail.

(1) All offerors are to provide:

(a) The names of SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs, or HBCU/MIs who would participate in the proposed contract, identifying specific components to be produced or services to be performed by them, and the estimated total dollars of such work.

(b) A description of the offeror's performance, over the past three calendar years, in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-8, including description and available documentation of

the methods employed to promote small business utilization and the internal methods used to monitor such utilization.

(2) Offerors who are large businesses, as defined by the Standard Industrial Code applicable to this solicitation, are also to provide a description of their performance over the past three calendar years in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-9, including documentation of their accomplishment of the goals established under Subcontracting Plans of prior contracts. Large businesses, which have never held a contract incorporating 52.219-9, shall so state.

Section L

Proposal Submission

All offerors, both Small and Large Business, are required to submit Small Business Participation Proposals as follows:

Offeror's Name _____
Company Size: _____ Small Business (SB) _____ Large Business (LB)
Company Status: _____ SB, _____ Historically Underutilized Business
Zone Small Business (HUBZone SB) , _____ Small Disadvantaged Business
(SDB) _____ Woman-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and _____
Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI)

Total Estimated Value of Proposed Contract: \$ _____
Total Estimated Value of Subcontracts: \$ _____

Dollar Value of Subcontracts planned for all:

SB: \$ _____
Company name(s) _____
HUBZone SB: \$ _____
Company name(s) _____
SDB: \$ _____
Company name(s) _____
WOSB: \$ _____
Company name(s) _____
HBCU/MI: \$ _____
Institution name(s) _____

Percentages of Contract Value subcontracted for all:

SB: % _____
HUBZone SB: % _____
SDB: % _____
WOSB: % _____
HBCU/MI: % _____

For SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, or WOSBs – Offeror's percentage of contract value you will perform at the prime contract level: % _____

Principle supplies/services to be subcontracted to:

SB: _____
HUBZone SB: _____
SDB: _____
WOSB: _____

HBCU/MI: _____

Principle supplies/services you will be providing at the prime contractor level (in house): _____

During the past three calendar years, provide the following:

Description of your performance in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns: _____

Description of your methods employed to promote the use of SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs, and HBCU/MI's: _____

Description of the internal methods used to monitor your utilization of the above (database mgmt, reports, etc.): _____

IF OFFEROR IS A LARGE BUSINESS, AN ADDITIONAL EVALUATION OF PAST PERFORMANCE OVER THE PAST THREE CALENDAR YEARS IN COMPLYING WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF FAR 52.219-9, SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN, WILL BE MADE. INCLUDE DOCUMENTATION OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS AGAINST GOALS ESTABLISHED UNDER SUBCONTRACTING PLANS OF PRIOR CONTRACTS.

SECTION M

M.1 BASIS FOR AWARD

Selection of an offeror for award will be based on an evaluation of proposals in two Areas: (1) Price and (2) Past Performance/Small Business Utilization. Each area is separately described below. The Past Performance/Small Business Utilization Area will not be numerically scored but, rather, will be rated in an adjectival and narrative manner. The award of a Contract will be made to that offeror whose proposal offers the best value to the Government based on an integrated assessment of Price and Past Performance/Small Business Utilization; consequently, the government may award to other than the low offeror. The Area of Past Performance/Small Business Utilization is more important than the Area of Price. Any proposal, which is unrealistically high or low in price may be deemed indicative of a failure to comprehend the Government's requirements and may be rejected for such a reason. Offerors are urged to ensure that their proposals are submitted on the most favorable terms in order to reflect their best possible potential, since less than the optimal initial proposal could result in the exclusion of the offeror from further consideration.

Price. The offeror shall be evaluated based on the proposal's total overall evaluated price to the Government. The proposed contract price will also be evaluated for reasonableness. Reasonableness means that the cost does not exceed what would be incurred by a prudent businessperson in the conduct of competitive business.

Past Performance/Small Business Utilization. Past Performance/Small Business Utilization is an important consideration in the award decision; however, price may be controlling where an overall higher evaluated proposal is unaffordable. Similarly, the closer the ratings in the past performance/small business utilization area are between offerors, the more significant price becomes.

M.2 PRICE AREA

M.2.1

a. The Government will evaluate offers based on prices proposed for all ordering periods and any other price related factors required by the solicitation. For the purposes of evaluation, the proposed unit prices for each quantity order range will be multiplied by the weight of the range to determine a weighted average price for each ordering period. The weights represent the likelihood that if an order is placed, it will be placed in that range. The evaluated price for each ordering period will be the weighted average price multiplied by the maximum quantity for that period. The evaluated price is the sum of the evaluated prices for all ordering periods. If an offeror fails to propose for all ordering periods, the Government may reject that offer as unacceptable.

b. This solicitation requires that the offeror provide prices for varying quantity ranges for each Ordering Period. If an offeror fails to propose for all ordering periods, the Government may reject that offer as unacceptable.

ATTACHMENT 005

M.3 PAST PERFORMANCE/SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION AREA

M.3.1 In evaluating you're past performance/small business utilization, we will consider two elements: past performance and small business utilization. Past performance is more important than small business utilization.

a. **Element 1, Past Performance:** The government will conduct a performance risk assessment based upon the quality of the offeror's past performance as it relates to the probability of successful accomplishment of the required effort. Performance risks are those associated with an offeror's likelihood of success in performing the solicitation's requirements as indicated by that offeror's record of past performance. Consideration will be given to the degree to which the offeror has met quality of performance, and delivery schedule conformance/timeliness on contracts (within the past three years) for similar, related efforts. On-time deliveries and Quality will be the factors evaluated under Past Performance and both are of equal importance. A significant achievement, problem, or lack of relevant data in any element of the work can become an important consideration in the selection process. Therefore, offeror's are reminded to include all relevant past efforts, including demonstrated corrective actions, in their proposal. As part of this effort, evaluators will consider relevant data extrinsic to the proposal, which is otherwise available to the government. This information will then be assessed along with the offeror's proposal to determine the performance risk. Offerors are reminded that while the government may elect to consider data obtained from other sources, the burden of providing thorough and complete past performance information rests with the offerors. An offeror's experience, producing gearboxes for military vehicles, will be considered to have greater relevancy than non-military applications or efforts.

b. **Element 2, Small Business Utilization:** Under this element we will evaluate the likelihood (risk) of you meeting the requirements of FAR 52.219-8 based on your commitment under this current contract and the realism of that commitment based on your past performance history of meeting those requirements.

M.4 EVALUATION CRITERIA/STANDARDS FOR RATING

M.4.1 Past Performance: During the best value source selection process, the Government will assess the relative performance risks associated with each offeror and proposal. The Past Performance assessment will evaluate the risk of successful quality of performance and delivery schedule performance.

Performance Risk: Performance risks are those risks associated with an offeror's likelihood of success in performing the solicitation's requirements indicated by that offeror's record of past performance. Past performance will be adjectivally/narratively assessed with a risk rating applied to each offeror as follows:

- a. **Excellent/Very Low Risk:** Essentially no doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort based on its past performance record.
- b. **Good/Low Risk:** Little doubt exists, based on past performance, that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
- c. **Adequate/Moderate Risk:** Some doubt exists, based on past performance, that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
- d. **Marginal/High Risk:** Substantial doubt exists, based on past performance, that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
- e. **Poor/Very High Risk:** Extremely doubtful that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort based on its past performance record.
- f. **Unknown/Unknown Risk:** No meaningfully relevant record of past performance.

The Government will conduct a performance risk assessment based on the quality and delivery schedule performance of the offeror's and significant Subcontractor's past performance as it relates to the probability of successful accomplishment of the required effort. When assessing performance risk, the Government will focus its inquiry on the past performance of the offeror as it relates to solicitation requirements, including quality and contract schedules.

A significant achievement, problem, or lack of relevant data in any element of the work can become an important consideration in the source selection process. A negative finding under an element may result in an overall high performance risk rating. Therefore, offerors are reminded to include all relevant past efforts, including demonstrated corrective actions, in their proposals. The lack of a meaningful relevant past performance record may result in an unknown performance risk rating.

M.4.2 Small Business Participation:

(a) The Government will evaluate the extent to which offerors identify, and commit to utilizing, SBs, HUBZone SBs, WOSBs and HBCU/Mis in the performance of the contract. Such utilization may be as the prime contractor or a subcontractor, or as a member of a joint venture or teaming arrangement.

(b) The evaluation will include the following:

(1) The extent to which the proposal specifically identifies SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs, and HBCU/MIs and the estimated dollar value of their participation, including the participation of the offeror, if it is a SB, HUBZone SB, SDB, WOSB, or an HBCU/MI;

(2) The complexity of the items/services to be furnished by SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs and HBCU/Mis;

(3) The extent of participation of such concerns in terms of the value of the total contract amount; and

(4) An assessment of the risk, based upon past performance, of the offeror actually achieving the involvement of small business concerns as proposed. Such assessment will include:

a. For all offerors, an evaluation of performance over the past three calendar years in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns:

b. For offerors who are large businesses as defined by the Standard Industrial Code applicable to this solicitation, an additional evaluation of past performance over the last three calendar years in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-9, Small Business Subcontracting Plan. Where a large business has not held a contract that included 52.219-9, its prior performance will be evaluated against 52.219-8 only.

**SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION
ADJECTIVAL SCALE**

The element of Small Business Participation will be evaluated in accordance with the following. Apply the adjectival rating for the definition *that most closely meets* the evaluation conclusion.

ADJECTIVAL	DEFINITION AND CRITERIA
Excellent	Proposal includes a substantial portion of the work, in terms of dollar value (more than 20%) and complexity, to be performed in the Small Business (SB), HUBZone Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI) sector by the prime (if so qualified) and/or as subcontractors or team members. Offeror has substantive evidence suggesting prior achievement of subcontracting plans or policy goals. Based on the proposal and past performance history, the offeror's proposed goals and/or actions are substantial and are considered very realistic (very low risk).
Good	Proposal includes a significant portion of the work in terms of dollar value (more than 15%) to be performed in the Small Business (SB), HUBZone Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI) sector by the prime (if so qualified) and/or as subcontractors or team members. Offeror has evidence suggesting prior achievement of most subcontracting plan or policy goals. Based on the offeror's proposal and past performance history, the offeror's proposed goals and/or actions are significant and are considered realistic (low risk).
Adequate	Proposal includes a reasonable portion of the work in terms of dollar value (more than 10%) or complexity to be performed in the Small Business (SB), HUBZone Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI) sector by the prime (if so qualified) and/or as subcontractors or team members. Offeror has evidence suggesting prior achievement of some subcontracting plan or policy goals. Based on the offeror's proposal and past performance history, the offeror's proposed goals and/or actions are adequate and could be met if the offeror focuses attention on them (moderate risk).
Marginal	Proposal includes a minimal portion of the work in terms of dollar value (less than 10%) and complexity to be performed in the Small Business (SB), HUBZone Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI) sector by the prime (if so qualified) and/or as subcontractors or team members. Based on the offeror's

	proposal and/or past performance history, there is little likelihood that more than a minimal portion of the work will be performed in this sector (High Risk).
Poor	Offeror demonstrates little or no commitment to using SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs and HBCU/MIs. There is no evidence that the offeror met his prior goals and/or shows no serious commitment and did not provide adequate justification for not doing so. Based on the proposal and past performance history, there is negligible likelihood that anything other than a token portion of the work will be performed in this sector (Very High Risk).
Neutral	Offeror has held no past Government contracts subject to FAR 52.219-8 and/or 52.219-9 (depending on applicability to offeror). This rating would also apply to foreign offeror who indicates no opportunity for using SB, HUBZone SB, SDB, WOSB and HBCU/MI as all contract work will be performed completely outside the United States or no meaningful subcontract opportunities exist. The offeror has had no previous Government contracts subject to the requirements of FAR 52.219-8 and/or 52.219-9.

* Offerors are required to address two areas in their SB Participation response: (1) "Proposed" SB usage in performance of the potential contract, and (2) "Past" usage of SBs in contract performance. The Government will evaluate both areas to develop one overall rating. Offerors who receive a Neutral Rating will not be evaluated either favorably or unfavorably, but will be evaluated in the context of the importance of Small Business Participation to the overall solicitation effort.

DAAE20-00-R-0079

ATTACHMENT 006

PRICE EVALUATION SPREADSHEET

CLIN 0001 - MANUAL DRIVE ASSEMBLY

NSN: 1015-01-238-8186

IDIQ

MINIMUM QUANTITY: 75*

Quantity Order Range	ORDERING PERIOD 1		ORDERING PERIOD 2		ORDERING PERIOD 3		ORDERING PERIOD 4		ORDERING PERIOD 5		TOTAL
	Unit Price	Weight									
50 - 100		0.60		0.60		0.60		0.40		0.60	
101 - 150		0.20		0.20		0.20		0.50		0.20	
151 - 200		0.20		0.20		0.20		0.10		0.20	
		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Weighted Avg Price											
Maximum Quantity	200		200		200		200		200		
Evaluated Unit Price											
Evaluated CLIN Price											

ORDERING PERIOD 1: DATE OF AWARD - DECEMBER 2001

ORDERING PERIOD 2: JANUARY 2002 - DECEMBER 2002

ORDERING PERIOD 3: JANUARY 2003 - DECEMBER 2003

ORDERING PERIOD 4: JANUARY 2004 - DECEMBER 2004

ORDERING PERIOD 5: JANUARY 2005 - DECEMBER 2005

*** 75 each out of the Total Estimated Quantity of 125 each for Ordering Period 1 is the guaranteed minimum quantity**

CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENTS LIST

DD FORM 1423 (MECHANIZED)

CATEGORY: MISC SYSTEM/ITEM: MANUAL DRIVE ASSEMBLY
 TO CONTRACT/PR: P10HNWX1

1. SEQUENCE NUMBER		14. DISTRIBUTION	DRFT/REG/REPRO COPIES
2. TITLE OF DATA ITEM			
3. SUBTITLE			
4. DATA ITEM NUMBER			
5. CONTRACT REFERENCE			
6. TECHNICAL OFFICE	7. DD	8. APP	9. DIST STATEMENT
	250	CODE	REQUIRED
10. FREQUENCY	11. AS OF DATE		15. TOTAL:
12. DATE OF 1ST SUBMISSION		13. DATE OF SUBSEQUENT SUBMISSION	
16. REMARKS			

1. A001		14. AMSTA-AR-ES	/ /
2. CONFIGURATION CHANGE CONTROL*		(ECALS)	/ /
3. ENGINEERING ACTIONS			
4. DI-CMAN-81554			
5. SECTION C			
6. AMSTA-AR-ES	7. NO	8. -	9. **
10. ASREQ	11. ---		15. TOTAL 0/ 0/ 0
12. ASREQ		13. ASREQ	

16. REMARKS
 PREPARE ENGINEERING ACTIONS IAW DI-CMAN-81544 AND SUBMIT ELECTRONICALLY VIA
 ECALS WORLDWIDE WEB PAGE [HTTP://EDMD4.PICA.ARMY.MIL/](http://EDMD4.PICA.ARMY.MIL/). *DATA INFORMATION PACKET
 **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT WILL BE ASSIGNED AND IMPLEMENTED BY THE DOD CONFIG-
 URATION MANAGER. THE POC FOR ECALS IS LEE SADAUSKAS, AMSTA-AR-QAW, (973) 724-6626
 LEES@PICA.ARMY.MIL.

APPROVED BY: STEPHEN J HANSEN, SDMO, AMSTA-AR-QAD

DATE: 12/06/1999