

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Tank-Automotive and Armaments Command – Rock Island (TACOM-RI) proposes to procure 48 each Drive Unit, Hydraulic, NSN: 3010-00-972-2624, P/N: 10923493.

This is an Indefinite-Quantity Contract with guaranteed quantity for a portion of the first ordering period. It has additional ordering periods 2, 3, 4, and 5. This procurement action is full and open.

This is a Best Value procurement. Award will be based on an evaluation of proposals in two areas: (1) Past Performance/Small Business Utilization and (2) Price. The area of Past Performance/Small Business Utilization is more important than the area of Price. See Sections L and M, attachments 004 and 005, of the solicitation.

This executive summary is provided solely as an administrative convenience and is not intended to alter in any way the terms and conditions of the attached Request for Proposal (RFP). Any inconsistency between the summary and the elements of the RFP it addresses shall be resolved in favor of the RFP elements in accordance with the order of precedence clause contained in the RFP.

ATTACHMENT 001

DOCUMENT SUMMARY LIST

Item: DRIVE UNIT, HYDRAULIC
 NSN: 3010-00-972-2624
 Control Number/PRON: P20HLQX2

Identifies all first tier documents (cited in SOW) (applicable DIDs). Also included are all referenced documents (2nd, (includes DID block 10 references), 3rd and lower tier) which have been tailored.

DOCUMENT CATEGORY:

CATEGORY 0 - Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, contract, or contract modifications, all documents are for guidance and information only.

CATEGORY 1 - The requirements contained in the directly cited document are contractually applicable to the extent specified. All referenced documents are for guidance and information only.

CATEGORY 2 - The requirements contained in the directly cited document and the reference documents identified in the directly cited document are contractually applicable to the extent specified. All subsequently referenced documents are for guidance and information only.

CATEGORY 3 - Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, contract or contract modification, all requirements contained in the directly cited document and all reference and subsequently referenced documents are contractually applicable to the extent specified.

Document Number (Contract Reference) Applicable Tailoring	Document Title	Document Date/ Document Category
1a. MIL-STD-2549 Table DIP 4-1	Configuration Management Data Interface	30 Jun 97 Cat 2
1b. DI-CMAN-81554 (seq A001)	Configuration Change Control Data Information Packet	30 Jun 97 Cat 2
2. ANSI/ISO/ASQC Q9003 or equivalent	Quality Systems-Model for Quality Assurance in Final Inspection and Test	18 Jul 94
3a. NCSL Z540-1 (ES7010)	General Requirements for Calibration Laboratories and Measuring and Test Equipment OR	30 Aug 94
ISO 10012-1 (ES7010)	Quality Assurance Requirements for Measuring Equipment, Part 1: Metrological Confirmation System	1992
3b. DI-QCIC-81006 (DD Form 1423)	Special Inspection Equipment Descriptive Documentation	11 Sep 89 Cat 1

4. FAR PART 45 (ACCTBY INST, para 1,10)	Federal Acquisition Regulation	1 Apr 84 Cat 3
5a. N/A (ACCTBY INST, para 3b(1))	Statement of Work	N/A Cat 2
5b. DI-MGMT-80544A (Sequence A001)	Transportation Discrepancy Report	8 Nov 90 Cat 1
6.a. N/A (ACCTBY INST, para 3b(2))	Statement of Work	N/A Cat 2
6b. DI-MGMT-80503 (Sequence A002)	Report of Shipping (Item) and Packaging Discrepancy	30 Dec 87 Cat 1

CONTRACT C WORKSHEET

PRON P20HLQX2 AMC 1 AMSC G ATC AWC5R

TDP 10923493 TDPL DATE 03/17/00

NSN 3010009722624 NOMENCLATURE DRIVE UNIT HYDRAULIC

ENGINEERING EXCEPTIONS: The following engineering changes apply to this procurement action(s):

DOCUMENT	DELETE	REPLACE WITH
SPI-10923493	A-A-1898	ASTM D4727
DISCRP #5	DWG-10922205	PARTS LIST CANNOT BE LOCATED
DISCRP #6	DWG-10922206	PARTS LIST CANNOT BE LOCATED
DISCRP #7	DWG-10923489	PARTS LIST CANNOT BE LOCATED

SQAP 10923459	MIL-STD-105 & AQLS	MIL-STD-1916 VL IV for MAJOR & VL II for MINOR characteristics
---------------	-----------------------	---

SQAP 10915935
(SEE SQAP 10923498) " "

For SQAp 10923459 Pg. 2 for MAJOR Defect 101 add " 9 PLACES" after "ON SAME CENTERLINE"

Pg. 3 for MAJOR Defect 114 (B) change as follows:

FROM: 114 (B) "CONCENTRICITY -.8906/.89.1
DIA. TO "A" WITHIN
.005 T. I. R.

TO: 114 (B) "CONCENTRICITY-.8906/.8911
DIA. TO "A" WITHIN
.005 T. I. R.

DN 1 QQ-C-390 IS CANCELLED AND REPLACED BY ASTM B584
DN 2 QQ-C-390 IS CANCELLED AND REPLACED BY ASTM B584
DN 3 QQ-P-35 IS CANCELLED AND REPLACED BY ASTM A967
DN 4 MIL-T-10727 IS CANCELLED AND REPLACED BY ASTM B545
DN 8 QQ-C-390 IS CANCELLED AND REPLACED BY ASTM-B584

The specific change action and effective date of specifications and standard which are "Not Furnished" within this TDPL shall be as specified in the latest DOD Index of Specification and Standard (DODISS), or by the commercial publisher, in effect as of the date of this TDPL

On drawing 10923493:

Add note: "NO SPECIAL MARKINGS ARE ALLOWED. THESE INCLUDE, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO, PART NUMBERS, STOCK NUMBERS, CAGE CODES, MFG SYMBOLS OR ICONS, ETC."

Attachment 003

SECTION L

L.1 PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS

Offerors shall submit proposals in accordance with this section. To avoid unnecessary expense to both the Government and the offeror, offerors are advised to thoroughly review Section M prior to submitting a proposal. Section M sets forth evaluation criteria the Government will use to select a contractor. There are two evaluation areas: (1) Past Performance/Small Business Utilization and (2) Price.

L.2 PRICE

1. The offeror will enter unit prices for each pricing period for CLIN 0001 on the Price Evaluation Spreadsheet (attachment 006). For Pricing Period 1, the offeror will enter unit prices for both with and without First Article. All unit prices will be binding.

2. The Government reserves the right to require the submission of any data necessary to validate the reasonableness of an offer.

L.3 PAST PERFORMANCE/SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION

L.3.1 Element 1, Past Performance:

a. The offeror shall detail and describe his quality and delivery performance on prior Government or commercial contracts during the last three years, which are the same or similar to the effort required on this solicitation. The term "similar" is defined as a contract over \$50,000.00 in value for the production of a Pistons and Shaft Assembly, Rotating Group, or a Hydraulic Motor Assembly containing a similarly complex Rotating Group. If the offeror has the following relevant experience, the offeror shall receive a higher past performance rating:

1. Machining of very close tolerance hydraulic components (there are sliding fits with tolerances between 0.0002 and 0.0004).
2. Experience in the use of metrology equipment to inspect close tolerances and measure hydraulic fluid pressures and flow rates.
3. Experience with test equipment and test stands (a lengthy/extensive endurance test is a requirement).
4. Experience with hydraulic fluid cleanliness levels.

The offeror's experience producing Rotating Groups/Hydraulic Motors for military vehicles or weapons systems is considered to have greater relevancy than non-military applications or efforts.

b. The offeror shall identify both prime contracts and major subcontracts for similar items as defined above. Both Government and Commercial contract description shall include the information requested below in the following format:

1. Identify in specific detail for each contract listed, why or how you consider the effort relevant or similar to the effort required by this solicitation. This would include identifying a commercial customer and any additional information required to evaluate the offeror's commercial work.
2. Your (and your subcontractor's) CAGE & CEC (DUNNS) Number.

ATTACHMENT 004

3. Government or commercial contracting activity address and telephone number.
4. Procuring Contracting Officer's (PCO's) name and telephone number if Government Contract.
5. Government or commercial contract administration activity and the name and telephone number of the Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO) if Government Contract.
6. Contract number.
7. Contract award date.
8. Contract type.
9. Final or projected final price/cost.
10. Original delivery schedule.
11. Final or projected final delivery schedules.
12. A narrative explanation on each previous contract listed describing the objectives achieved and any schedule delays encountered. For any government contracts, which did not/do not meet original requirement with regard to either schedule or technical performance, a brief explanation of the reason(s) for such shortcomings and demonstrated corrective actions taken to avoid recurrence. The offeror shall also provide a copy of any cure notices or show cause letter received on each previous contract listed and description of any corrective action by the offeror or proposed subcontractor.
13. The offeror shall also provide the above required information for any and all contracts it has had terminated for default in whole or in part, during the past 3 years, to include those currently in the process of such termination as well as those which are NOT similar to the proposed effort. The contractor shall list each time the delivery schedule was revised and provide an explanation of why the revision was necessary.
14. If the offeror intends to subcontract a major portion of the requirements of the solicitation, the offeror shall identify the proposed subcontractors and provide adequate information as to allow the Government to perform an evaluation of the subcontractors' capabilities and ability to perform the required tasks.
15. Offerors shall include in their proposal the written consent of their proposed subcontractors to allow the Government to discuss the subcontractor's past performance evaluation with the offeror during negotiation, if applicable.

NOTE: Offerors are reminded that both independent data and data provided by offerors in their proposals may be used to evaluate offerors past performance. Since the Government may not necessarily interview all sources provided by the offerors, it is incumbent upon the offer to explain the relevance of data provided. The burden of providing thorough and complete past performance information remains with the offerors. Proposals that do not contain the information requested, by this paragraph risk rejection or high risk rating by the Government.

L.3.2 Element 2, Small Business Participation:

- a. Offerors are to identify the extent to which small businesses (SBs), HUBZone small businesses, small disadvantaged businesses (SDBs), woman-owned small businesses (WOSBs), historically black colleges/universities or minority institutions (HBCU/MIs) would be utilized in the performance of this proposed contract. For small businesses, as defined by the Standard Industrial Code applicable to this

solicitation, the offeror's own participation as a SB, HUBZone SB, SDB, WOSB, or HBCU/MI is to be identified, and will be considered in evaluating small business participation.

b. The offeror is to address the following factors in detail.

(1) All offerors are to provide:

(a) The names of SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs, or HBCU/Mis who would participate in the proposed contract, identifying specific components to be produced or services to be performed by them, and the estimated total dollars of such work.

(b) A description of the offeror's performance, over the past three calendar years, in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-8, including description and available documentation of the methods employed to promote small business utilization and the internal methods used to monitor such utilization.

(2) Offerors who are large businesses, as defined by the Standard Industrial Code applicable to this solicitation, are also to provide a description of their performance over the past three calendar years in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-9, including documentation of their accomplishment of the goals established under Subcontracting Plans of prior contracts. Large businesses, which have never held a contract incorporating 52.219-9, shall so state.

Section L

Proposal Submission

All offerors, both Small and Large Business, are required to submit Small Business Participation Proposals as follows:

Offeror's Name _____
Company Size: _____ Small Business (SB) _____ Large Business (LB)
Company Status: _____ SB, _____ Historically Underutilized Business
Zone Small Business (HUBZone SB), _____ Small Disadvantaged Business
(SDB) _____ Woman-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and _____
Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI)

Total Estimated Value of Proposed Contract: \$ _____
Total Estimated Value of Subcontracts: \$ _____

Dollar Value of Subcontracts planned for all:

SB: \$ _____
Company name(s) _____

HUBZone SB: \$ _____
Company name(s) _____

SDB: \$ _____
Company name(s) _____

WOSB: \$ _____
Company name(s) _____

HBCU/MI: \$ _____
Institution name(s) _____

Percentages of Contract Value subcontracted for all:

SB: % _____

HUBZone SB: % _____

SDB: % _____

WOSB: % _____

HBCU/MI: % _____

For SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, or WOSBs – Offeror's percentage of contract value you will perform at the prime contract level: % _____

Principle supplies/services to be subcontracted to:

SB: _____

HUBZone SB: _____

SDB: _____

WOSB: _____

HBCU/MI: _____

Principle supplies/services you will be providing at the prime contractor level (in house): _____

During the past three calendar years, provide the following:

Description of your performance in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns: _____

Description of your methods employed to promote the use of SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs, and HBCU/MI's: _____

Description of the internal methods used to monitor your utilization of the above (database mgmt, reports, etc.): _____

IF OFFEROR IS A LARGE BUSINESS, AN ADDITIONAL EVALUATION OF PAST PERFORMANCE OVER THE PAST THREE CALENDAR YEARS IN COMPLYING WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF FAR 52.219-9, SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN, WILL BE MADE. INCLUDE DOCUMENTATION OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS AGAINST GOALS ESTABLISHED UNDER SUBCONTRACTING PLANS OF PRIOR CONTRACTS.

SECTION M

M.1 BASIS FOR AWARD

Selection of an offeror for award will be based on an evaluation of proposals in two Areas: (1) Past Performance/Small Business Utilization and (2) Price. Each area is separately described below. The Past Performance/Small Business Utilization Area will not be numerically scored but, rather, will be rated in an adjectival and narrative manner. The award of a Contract will be made to that offeror whose proposal offers the best value to the Government based on an integrated assessment of Price and Past Performance/Small Business Utilization; consequently, the government may award to other than the low offeror. The Area of Past Performance/Small Business Utilization is more important than the Area of Price. Any proposal, which is unrealistically high or low in price may be deemed indicative of a failure to comprehend the Government's requirements and may be rejected for such a reason. Offerors are urged to ensure that their proposals are submitted on the most favorable terms in order to reflect their best possible potential, since less than the optimal initial proposal could result in the exclusion of the offeror from further consideration.

Price. The offeror shall be evaluated based on the proposal's total overall evaluated price to the Government. The proposed contract price will also be evaluated for reasonableness. Reasonableness means that the cost does not exceed what would be incurred by a prudent businessperson in the conduct of competitive business.

Past Performance/Small Business Utilization. Past Performance/Small Business Utilization is an important consideration in the award decision; however, price may be controlling where an overall higher evaluated proposal is unaffordable. Similarly, the closer the ratings in the past performance/small business utilization area are between offerors, the more significant price becomes.

M.2 PRICE AREA

M.2.1

1. The Government will evaluate offers based on prices proposed for all pricing periods and any other price related factors required by the solicitation. For purposes of evaluating CLIN 0001, the proposed unit prices for each quantity order range will be multiplied by the weight of the range to determine a weighted average price for each pricing period. For Pricing Period 1, the use of unit prices with or without First Article is dependent upon whether or not an offeror has received approval of a waiver prior to evaluation. The evaluated price for each pricing period will be the weighted average price multiplied by the maximum quantity for that period. The evaluated CLIN price is the sum of the evaluated prices for all pricing periods.

2. If an offeror fails to propose for all pricing periods, the Government may reject that offer as unacceptable.

ATTACHMENT 005

M.3 PAST PERFORMANCE/SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION AREA

M.3.1 In evaluating your past performance/small business utilization, we will consider two elements: past performance and small business utilization. Past performance is more important than small business utilization.

a. **Element 1, Past Performance:** The government will conduct a performance risk assessment based upon the quality of the offeror's past performance as it relates to the probability of successful accomplishment of the required effort. Performance risks are those associated with an offeror's likelihood of success in performing the solicitation's requirements as indicated by that offeror's record of past performance. Consideration will be given to the degree to which the offeror has met quality of performance, and delivery schedule conformance/timeliness on contracts (within the past three years) for similar, related efforts. On-time deliveries and Quality will be the factors evaluated under Past Performance and both are of equal importance. A significant achievement, problem, or lack of relevant data in any element of the work can become an important consideration in the selection process. Therefore, offeror's are reminded to include all relevant past efforts, including demonstrated corrective actions, in their proposal. As part of this effort, evaluators will consider relevant data extrinsic to the proposal, which is otherwise available to the government. This information will then be assessed along with the offeror's proposal to determine the performance risk. Offerors are reminded that while the government may elect to consider data obtained from other sources, the burden of providing thorough and complete past performance information rests with the offerors.

If the offeror has the following relevant experience, the offeror shall receive a higher past performance rating:

1. Machining of very close tolerance hydraulic components (there are sliding fits with tolerances between 0.0002 and 0.0004).
2. Experience in the use of metrology equipment to inspect close tolerances and measure hydraulic fluid pressures and flow rates.
3. Experience with test equipment and test stands (a lengthy/extensive endurance test is a requirement).
4. Experience with hydraulic fluid cleanliness levels.

An offeror's experience, producing Rotating Groups/Hydraulic Motors for military vehicles, will be considered to have greater relevancy than non-military applications or efforts.

b. **Element 2, Small Business Utilization:** Under this element we will evaluate the likelihood (risk) of you meeting the requirements of FAR 52.219-8 based on your commitment under this current contract and the realism of that commitment based on your past performance history of meeting those requirements.

M.4 EVALUATION CRITERIA/STANDARDS FOR RATING

M.4.1 Past Performance: During the best value source selection process, the Government will assess the relative performance risks associated with each offeror and proposal. The Past Performance assessment will evaluate the risk of successful quality of performance and delivery schedule performance.

Performance Risk: Performance risks are those risks associated with an offeror's likelihood of success in performing the solicitation's requirements indicated by that offeror's record of past performance. Past performance will be adjectivally/narratively assessed with a risk rating applied to each offeror as follows:

- a. **Excellent/Very Low Risk:** Essentially no doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort based on its past performance record.
- b. **Good/Low Risk:** Little doubt exists, based on past performance, that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
- c. **Adequate/Moderate Risk:** Some doubt exists, based on past performance, that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
- d. **Marginal/High Risk:** Substantial doubt exists, based on past performance, that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
- e. **Poor/Very High Risk:** Extremely doubtful that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort based on its past performance record.
- f. **Unknown/Unknown Risk:** No meaningfully relevant record of past performance.

The Government will conduct a performance risk assessment based on the quality and delivery schedule performance of the offeror's and significant Subcontractor's past performance as it relates to the probability of successful accomplishment of the required effort. When assessing performance risk, the Government will focus its inquiry on the past performance of the offeror as it relates to solicitation requirements, including quality and contract schedules.

A significant achievement, problem, or lack of relevant data in any element of the work can become an important consideration in the source selection process. A negative finding under an element may result in an overall high performance risk rating. Therefore, offerors are reminded to include all relevant past efforts, including demonstrated corrective actions, in their proposals. The lack of a meaningful relevant past performance record may result in an unknown performance risk rating.

M.4.2 Small Business Participation:

(a) The Government will evaluate the extent to which offerors identify, and commit to utilizing, SBs, HUBZone SBs, WOSBs and HBCU/Mis in the performance of the contract. Such utilization may be as the prime contractor or a subcontractor, or as a member of a joint venture or teaming arrangement.

(b) The evaluation will include the following:

(1) The extent to which the proposal specifically identifies SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs, and HBCU/MIs and the estimated dollar value of their participation, including the participation of the offeror, if it is a SB, HUBZone SB, SDB, WOSB, or an HBCU/MI;

(2) The complexity of the items/services to be furnished by SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs and HBCU/Mis;

(3) The extent of participation of such concerns in terms of the value of the total contract amount; and

(4) An assessment of the risk, based upon past performance, of the offeror actually achieving the involvement of small business concerns as proposed. Such assessment will include:

a. For all offerors, an evaluation of performance over the past three calendar years in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns:

b. For offerors who are large businesses as defined by the Standard Industrial Code applicable to this solicitation, an additional evaluation of past performance over the last three calendar years in complying with the requirements of FAR 52.219-9, Small Business Subcontracting Plan. Where a large business has not held a contract that included 52.219-9, its prior performance will be evaluated against 52.219-8 only.

**SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION
ADJECTIVAL SCALE**

The element of Small Business Participation will be evaluated in accordance with the following. Apply the adjectival rating for the definition *that most closely meets* the evaluation conclusion.

ADJECTIVAL	DEFINITION AND CRITERIA
Excellent	Proposal includes a substantial portion of the work, in terms of dollar value (more than 20%) and complexity, to be performed in the Small Business (SB), HUBZone Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI) sector by the prime (if so qualified) and/or as subcontractors or team members. Offeror has substantive evidence suggesting prior achievement of subcontracting plans or policy goals. Based on the proposal and past performance history, the offeror's proposed goals and/or actions are substantial and are considered very realistic (very low risk).
Good	Proposal includes a significant portion of the work in terms of dollar value (more than 15%) to be performed in the Small Business (SB), HUBZone Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI) sector by the prime (if so qualified) and/or as subcontractors or team members. Offeror has evidence suggesting prior achievement of most subcontracting plan or policy goals. Based on the offeror's proposal and past performance history, the offeror's proposed goals and/or actions are significant and are considered realistic (low risk).
Adequate	Proposal includes a reasonable portion of the work in terms of dollar value (more than 10%) or complexity to be performed in the Small Business (SB), HUBZone Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI) sector by the prime (if so qualified) and/or as subcontractors or team members. Offeror has evidence suggesting prior achievement of some subcontracting plan or policy goals. Based on the offeror's proposal and past performance history, the offeror's proposed goals and/or actions are adequate and could be met if the offeror focuses attention on them (moderate risk).
Marginal	Proposal includes a minimal portion of the work in terms of dollar value (less than 10%) and complexity to be performed in the Small Business (SB), HUBZone Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB), and Historically Black Colleges and University/Minority Institution (HBCU/MI) sector by the prime (if so qualified) and/or as subcontractors or team members. Based on the offeror's

	proposal and/or past performance history, there is little likelihood that more than a minimal portion of the work will be performed in this sector (High Risk).
Poor	Offeror demonstrates little or no commitment to using SBs, HUBZone SBs, SDBs, WOSBs and HBCU/MI. There is no evidence that the offeror met his prior goals and/or shows no serious commitment and did not provide adequate justification for not doing so. Based on the proposal and past performance history, there is negligible likelihood that anything other than a token portion of the work will be performed in this sector (Very High Risk).
Neutral	Offeror has held no past Government contracts subject to FAR 52.219-8 and/or 52.219-9 (depending on applicability to offeror). This rating would also apply to foreign offeror who indicates no opportunity for using SB, HUBZone SB, SDB, WOSB and HBCU/MI as all contract work will be performed completely outside the United States or no meaningful subcontract opportunities exist. The offeror has had no previous Government contracts subject to the requirements of FAR 52.219-8 and/or 52.219-9.

* Offerors are required to address two areas in their SB Participation response: (1) "Proposed" SB usage in performance of the potential contract, and (2) "Past" usage of SBs in contract performance. The Government will evaluate both areas to develop one overall rating. Offerors who receive a Neutral Rating will not be evaluated either favorably or unfavorably, but will be evaluated in the context of the importance of Small Business Participation to the overall solicitation effort.

Minimum Guaranteed Quantity
 (To be awarded in Pricing Period 1)

48

CLIN 0001 - Hydraulic Drive Unit - NSN: 3010-00-972-2624

P/N 10923493

Order Quantity Range	Pricing Period 1				Pricing Period 2		Pricing Period 3		Pricing Period 4		Pricing Period 5		Total
	Price w/FA	Weight	Price w/oFA	Weight	Price	Weight	Price	Weight	Price	Weight	Price	Weight	
10 - 25		0.10		0.10		0.20		0.30		0.40		0.50	
26 - 50		0.90		0.90		0.80		0.70		0.60		0.50	
		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Weighted Unit Price	\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		
Maximum Total Qty	75		75		50		50		50		50		
Evaluated Price	\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		
Evaluated CLIN Price													\$0.00

Attachment 006

APPENDIX I

GOVERNMENT-DESIGNED ACCEPTANCE INSPECTION EQUIPMENT

AVAILABLE EQUIPMENT

Estimated Weight-9.4 #

<u>QTY</u>	<u>DRAWING NUMBER</u>	<u>REV</u> <u>SYM</u>	<u>COST</u> <u>EACH</u>	<u>QTY</u>	<u>DRAWING NUMBE</u>	<u>REV</u> <u>SYM</u>	<u>COST</u> <u>EACH</u>
1	10923498	N	\$2983.00				

ATTACHMENT 007

ACCOUNTIBILITY INSTRUCTIONS

PRON# P20HLQX2

DRIVE UNIT, HYDRAULIC

1. Transfer of Accountability.: Government furnished equipment (GFE) for temporary use:
Accountability for this material is transferred to the contractor under provisions of the FAR. The Contractor shall maintain the official property records in accordance with Part 45. Cited data item descriptions provide transaction reporting necessary for accurate physical and fiscal accounting for material in the possession of defense contractors.

2. Point of contact for accountability.

Associate Deputy for
Systems Acquisition and
Life Cycle Management
ATTN: AMSTA-LC-CIAI
Rock Island, IL 61299-7630
Commercial Phone: (309) 782-5291

3. Material receipt by Contractor.

a. Discrepancy Reporting: Discrepancies shall be distinguished and reported as one of the following:

- (1) Transportation type discrepancy: This discrepancy is evident when material received disagrees with the condition, quantity, or type from that property described on the bill of lading or other transportation document. See DI-MGMT-80544A
- (2) Shipping type discrepancy: This discrepancy is evident when freight is opened and the contents do not agree with the supply shipping documents. See DI-MGMT-80503, Report of Shipping (item) and Packaging Discrepancy.

4. Return of GFE.

a. Inventory: The Contractor shall, within 30 calendar days after Government acceptance of all items on this contract, provide an inventory list of all remaining GFE, through the Administrating Contracting Officer (ACO) to the Contracting officer

b. Disposition Instructions: Within 45 days after receipt of the inventory list, the Contracting Officer will provide the Contractor with disposition instructions. To assure property accountability and preclude Contractor liability, all GFE must be returned on the document number under which it was received.

ATTACHMENT 008

LIST OF ADDRESSES

Commander
U.S. Army Industrial Operations Command
ATTN: AMSIO-_____ (see block 14 of DD Form 1423 for symbols that apply)
Rock Island, IL 61299-6000

Commander
U.S. Army Tank-automotive and Armament Command
ATTN: AMSTA-_____ (see block 14 of DD Form 1423 for symbols that apply)
Rock Island, IL 61299-8630

Director
U.S. Army, Armament, Research, Development & Engineering Center
ATTN: AMSTA-AR-_____*(R) (see block 14 of DD Form 1423 for symbols that apply)
Rock Island, IL 61299-7300

Commander
U.S. Army, Armament, Research, Development & Engineering Center
ATTN: AMSTA-AR-_____*(D) (see block 14 of DD Form 1423 for symbols that apply)
Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

*When letter in parentheses at end of office symbol is a (R), use Rock Island address;
when it is a (D), use Picatinny Arsenal address.

EMAIL addresses:

AMSTA-AR-QAA(D)	aie-qaa@pica.army.mil
AMSTA-AR-QAC(D)	aie-qac@pica.army.mil
AMSTA-AR-QAT(D)	aie-qat@pica.army.mil
AMSTA-AR-QA__(R)	amsta-ar-qa-cdr1@ria.army.mil

ATTACHMENT 009

CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENTS LIST

DD FORM 1423 (MECHANIZED)

CATEGORY: MISC SYSTEM/ITEM: DRIVE UNIT, HYDRAULIC
TO CONTRACT/PR: P20HLQX2

1. SEQUENCE NUMBER	14. DISTRIBUTION	DRFT/REG/REPRO COPIES
2. TITLE OF DATA ITEM		
3. SUBTITLE		
4. DATA ITEM NUMBER		
5. CONTRACT REFERENCE		
6. TECHNICAL OFFICE	7. DD 8. APP 9. DIST STATEMENT	
	250 CODE REQUIRED	
10. FREQUENCY	11. AS OF DATE	15. TOTAL:
12. DATE OF 1ST SUBMISSION	13. DATE OF SUBSEQUENT SUBMISSION	
16. REMARKS		

1. A001	14. AMSTA-AR-ES (ECALS)	/ / / /
2. CONFIGURATION CHANGE CONTROL*		
3. ENGINEERING ACTIONS		
4. DI-CMAN-81554		
5. SECTION C		
6. AMSTA-AR-ES	7. NO 8. - 9. **	
10. ASREQ	11. ---	15. TOTAL 0/ 0/ 0
12. ASREQ	13. ASREQ	

16. REMARKS
PREPARE ENGINEERING ACTIONS IAW DI-CMAN-81544 AND SUBMIT ELECTRONICALLY VIA ECALS WORLDWIDE WEB PAGE [HTTP://EDMD4.PICA.ARMY.MIL/](http://EDMD4.PICA.ARMY.MIL/). *DATA INFORMATION PACKET **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT WILL BE ASSIGNED AND IMPLEMENTED BY THE DOD CONFIGURATION MANAGER. THE POC FOR ECALS IS LEE SADAUSKAS, AMSTA-AR-QAW, (973) 724-6626 LEES@PICA.ARMY.MIL.

1. A002	14. AMSTA-AR-QAC (D) QAR CO (LT ONLY) AMSTA-AR-QAW-C (LT ONLY) (R)	(1) / 1/ / / / / / / (2) / / / /
2. SPECIAL INSPECTION EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTIVE DOC.		
3. AIE DESIGN DOCUMENTATION		
4. DI-QCIC-81006*		
5. SECTION E		
6. AMSTA-AR-QA	7. XX 8. A 9. N/A	
10. ONE/R	11. N/A	15. TOTAL 0/ 1/ 0
12. **	13. WHEN REV.	

16. REMARKS
* BLOCK 4: DO NOT ADDRESS PARAGRAPHS 10.1, 10.2, 10.4.1(f) AND 10.4.2. IGNORE ALL REFERENCE TO THE WORD "SPECIAL" IN DID. SUBMIT FOR ALL CRITICAL, SPECIAL AND MAJOR CHARACTERISTICS IN SPECIFICATION OR QAP. ** BLOCK 12: SUBMIT 30 DAYS PRIOR TO FA, OR PRODUCTION, IF FA IS WAIVED. THE GOVERNMENT WILL RESPOND WITHIN 30 DAYS OF RECEIPT OF ORIGINALS AND REVISIONS. REVISIONS ARE TO BE SUBMITTED WITHIN 10 DAYS OF RECEIPT OF GOVERNMENT RESPONSE. IF DOCUMENTATION WAS APPROVED ON PRIOR CONTRACT AND NO CHANGES WERE MADE, SUBMIT

Exhibit A

ONLY EVIDENCE OF PRIOR APPROVALS.

email: (1)aie-qac@pica.army.mil (2)amsta-ar-ga-cdr1@ria-emh2.army.mil

Text:Microsoft Office 97

Drawings:AutoCAD-Release 14(Expressed mailed hard copies will be accepted)

Files may be compressed using ZIP program.

WARNING: Large packages may cause delays in delivery using mail internet.

Email subject line must contain end item nomenclature.

1. A003			14.	
			AMSTA-LC-CIAT	/ 1/
2. TRANSPORTATION DISCREPANCY REPORT				
3.				
4. DI-MGMT-80544A				
5. SOW, ACTBY INST,para 3a(1)				
6. AMSTA-LC-CIA	7. NO	8.	9. N/A	
10. ASREQ	11. ASREQ		15. TOTAL	0/ 1/ 0
12. *		13.		

16. REMARKS
 *SUBMISSION REQUIRED UPON DISCOVERY OF TRANSPORTATION DISCREPANCY WHEN
 MATERIEL IS RECEIVED AT CONTRACTOR'S FACILITY.
 E-MAIL: mosleya@tacom.army.mil

1. A004			14.	
			AMSTA-LC-CIAC	/ 1/
2. REPORT OF SHIPPING (ITEM) AND				
3. PACKAGING DISCREPANCY				
4. DI-MGMT-80503				
5. SOW,ACTBY INST,para 4a(2)				
6. AMSTA-LC-CIA	7. NO	8.	9. N/A	
10. ASREQ	11. ASREQ		15. TOTAL	0/ 1/ 0
12. *		13.		

16. REMARKS
 *SUBMISSION REQUIRED UPON DISCOVERY OF SHIPPING DISCREPANCY WHEN MATERIEL
 IS RECEIVED AT CONTRACTOR'S FACILITY. REPORT SHALL BE SUBMITTED WITHIN
 3 DAYS OF DISCOVERY.
 E-MAIL: rods@ria.army.mil
